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Certificate Of Excellence In Accountability Reporting (CEAR)

Program GUIDELINES

## For Fiscal Year 2025

**Agency Financial Reports and**

**Performance and Accountability Reports**

INTRODUCTION

Congress and the Executive branch created the Performance and Accountability Report (PAR) to satisfy the reporting requirements of the *Reports Consolidation Act*, *Government Performance and Results Ac*t, *Chief Financial Officers Act*, *Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act*, *Accountability for Tax Dollars Act*, *Improper Payments Information Act,* and other financial management statutes. Hence, each Federal entity subject to the *Chief Financial Officers Act* or *Accountability for Tax Dollars Act*, many components of these entities, and other independent entities prepare a PAR or the more recently defined Agency Financial Report (AFR).

The Certificate of Excellence in Accountability Reporting (CEAR) Program was established by the AGA in 1996, in conjunction with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the Chief Financial Officers Council, to further performance and accountability reporting. Specifically, the CEAR Program:

1) reviews individual PARs and AFRs and provides recommendations for improving their presentation and usefulness;

2) publicly recognizes the entities, and particularly the individuals in the entities who labor tirelessly to prepare the reports; and

3) trains the individuals who prepare and review the reports, such that they can expand their understanding of the organization and content of other entities’ PARs and AFRs and bring this knowledge back to their own entities.

PARs have evolved since the CEAR Program was founded. Their initial purpose was to present to oversight organizations, the public, and others, in a comprehensive and integrated manner, the services the entity is providing, the results it is achieving, what these achievements cost, and how it is managing its resources. A second, no less important purpose was to provide a vehicle and discipline for entities to establish, or at least improve, the processes and procedures needed to obtain and accumulate information, an essential element of transparency and accountability.

Nonetheless, concerns were raised with the PARs. There was a degree of uncertainty regarding the audience for the PARs, which was often reflected in a lack of meaningfulness and/or understandability for the information in the document. The size of many PARs grew to excessive lengths due, in large part, to poor organization, inclusion of unnecessary detail, and redundancy. PARs often lacked candor. Technology was not used effectively to disseminate the information, and the cost and effort associated with producing the documents were high.

As a result, OMB initiated a Pilot Program in FY 2007. The Pilot Program allowed an entity to eliminate the Performance Section from the PAR and instead issue an AFR **and** Annual Performance Report (APR). Also, all CFO Act entities and all non-CFO Act entities that prepared an AFR and APR rather than a PAR were required to prepare a Summary of Performance and Financial Information (SPFI), previously called the Citizens’ Report, to further integrate financial and performance information (although issuance of a SPFI is now optional). The Pilot Program addressed some concerns, but the process is still evolving.

It should be emphasized, therefore, that **the major purpose of the reports, other than providing the discipline that assures the entity’s accountability, is to enable people to learn about an entity’s performance and the linkages between performance and financial information**. The designation of the Agency Performance Report as the primary document for comprehensive organizational performance reporting, combined with the elimination of the PAR’s Performance Section, **does not mean** that it is no longer necessary to present performance information in the AFR. With the emphasis on annual performance reporting specified in the Government Performance and Results Act Modernization Act, an AFR’s Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) should present sufficient information about the entity’s strategic goals and strategic objectives, Agency Priority Goals, and key performance goals and results to enable users to obtain a sense of how the entity is doing. This does not mean more data or performance measures, but rather a comprehensive perspective of how the entity is doing, particularly concerning prior years and targets.

The CEAR program provides actionable and specific recommendations and serves as a consistent mechanism for entities to improve their PARs, AFRs, and SPFIs. The program also publicly recognizes the dedication and hard work of individuals within the entities who prepare the reports and provides developmental and service opportunities for reviewers who perform in-depth analyses of other entities’ PARs, AFRs, and SPFIs and learn how to improve their own entities’ reports.

**APPLYING FOR THE CEAR PROGRAM**

Agencies interested in submitting their PARs, AFRs, and SPFIs to the CEAR Program for review will submit the CEAR application form, which can be found on the AGA’s website under the Standards and Guidance tab, in the Certificate of Excellence in Accountability Reporting link. The application form is due on November 17, 2025 (corresponding to the due date for PARs and AFRs to be issued). The related documents needed for the review are due to AGA by December 15th, and include:

* A letter signed by your agency’s CFO or equivalent requesting that your report be reviewed by the program
* Six printed copies of your AFR/PAR
* Six printed copies of your response to the prior year’s recommendations (if the agency participated in the prior year)
* Optional – one copy of the Guidelines detailing the pages of your AFR/PAR where the guidelines are addressed.

If applicable, the response to the prior year’s recommendations should explain how the comment was addressed or explain why the comment was not addressed. In some cases, a prior year recommendation may not be relevant to the current report. Responses such as “taken into consideration but not implemented” without explaining the reason for not implementing the comment is likely to be viewed negatively by the reviewers and could indicate a reluctance to make changes that could improve the report.

Completing and submitting a copy of the Guidelines helps the entity ensure it has included each of the appropriate items of information in the report. Identifying the page number on which the item is addressed helps the reviewers readily locate the required items. Indicating the page number of the PAR or AFR—not simply an “x” or the word ”yes”—on which the information is presented is encouraged.

CRITERIA FOR THE EVALUATION

The CEAR evaluation process has two major components: specifically, the Guidelines and the Review Team.

**The Guidelines -** The first component is the CEAR Guidelines.© The Guidelines have been prepared for two purposes. They help an entity prepare a PAR, AFR, and SPFI. The Guidelines identify each of the numerous items Congress or OMB requires for the reports, with the specific authority identified.[[1]](#footnote-2) They also identify other content that can improve a Report’s usefulness and usability. The latter items have been culled from past practices and thus do not carry an authoritative reference. CEAR Guidelines can also be used to assist CEAR reviewers as well as agency personnel involved in reviewing PARs, AFRs, and SPFIs.

The four sections in the Guidelines, with their component parts, are as follows:

Section I is concerned with both **AFRs** and **PARs**.

* **Part A** addresses the Report’s **overall organization and presentation.**
* **Part B** addresses the **Agency Head's Message.**
* **Part C** addresses the **Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)** and how it fulfills what should be the primary purpose of the AFR, specifically to provide a complete yet concise, clear, inviting, and informative overview of how the entity is doing; what it has accomplished, particularly in relation to prior years and targets; and how it is managing its programs and resources. It also serves as a roadmap to the remainder of the AFR or PAR. Hence, the MD&A represents the most important part of the Report and, thus, the portion of the review that receives the most attention.
* **Part D** addresses the **Financial Section**, which is composed of a message from the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) (which is optional), the auditors’ report, the financial statements and notes, and Required Supplementary Information (RSI) if applicable. The portion of Part D related to the financial statements and RSI is included primarily for the preparers of the financial statements. Although some reviewers will still evaluate the way the financial information is presented in the financial statements, the CEAR Program relies primarily on the organization auditing the financial statements to assure adherence to the applicable standards and criteria.
* **Part E** addresses the **Other Information,** which contains, among other things:
* a summary by the entity’s Inspector General of the entity’s most serious management and performance challenges and the entity’s progress in addressing the challenges;
* summary tables of material weaknesses in internal control and system non-conformance;
* information on payment integrity;
* the most recent inflationary adjustments to civil monetary penalties required by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act;
* a summary of expired, but not closed, Federal grants and cooperative agreements; and
* possibly other information.

Section II is for the **Performance and Accountability Reports** andaddresses the Performance Section included in a PAR but not in an AFR. Thus, it should be used by PAR preparers and reviewers in combination with Section I.

Section III provides guidance for the preparation and/or review of the **Summary of Performance and Financial Information**, which is an optional report.

Section IV lists the **Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Standards** issued during this and prior years that will become effective in future years. This information will help preparers ascertain the reporting requirements they will need to meet in subsequent periods.

**The Review Team -** The second component of the evaluation is a five-person team that evaluates each Report submitted by an entity. The team members possess considerable knowledge and experience in Federal government financial management and/or performance measurement and the reporting of results. While they may not have an in-depth knowledge of the entity, they have a general knowledge of Federal government operations, the subjects covered by the Report, and, at least collectively, a familiarity with the entity submitting the Report, its mission, and its programs.

**THE EVALUATION PROCESS**

The review team members use the Guidelines to review the Report individually, identify and prepare written recommendations for matters in which they believe the Report could be improved, and decide on their own whether the Report should receive the Certificate of Excellence and possibly a Special Award. During this phase, reviewers should not contact other members of their team. It is important that reviewers perform the reviews on their own and not be influenced by others.

Once the individuals complete their reviews, the team members will meet as a group; review a compendium of all the recommendations; reach agreement on which recommendations for improvement should be sent to the entity; vote (majority rules) on whether the Certificate of Excellence should be awarded; and consider whether the Report should receive a Special Award.

A separate **CEAR Reviewer Submission Form** is used by the independent CEAR reviewers to document the results of their review. The Form has two components:

1. **Noteworthy Features, Recommendations, and Special Awards**. This section documents the reviewer’s overall observations and recommendations for the PAR or AFR and SPFI. Space is provided for the reviewer to 1) identify report sections that could be considered exemplary applications of the Guidelines or materials not addressed by the Guidelines that might be of interest for possible reference by preparers of other entities’ reports; 2) provide recommendations to address weak or deficient practices; and 3) suggest whether he or she thinks the report should receive a Special Award, as discussed later in this Introduction in a sectiontitledThe Special Awards Component.
2. **Request for Preliminary Vote** provides an opportunity for reviewers to furnish their preliminary views on whether the Report(s) should receive a Certificate of Excellence and if not, the major reasons that preclude the Report(s) from receiving a Certificate of Excellence.

The purpose of the CEAR Program is to recognize entities that issue complete, informative, and candid reports[[2]](#footnote-3) and help the entities become even more accountable and transparent. It is not a compliance program. The Review Guidelines are formatted to enable reviewers to consider the overall quality of the report rather than dwell on the presence or absence of the individual elements. This has been done by not asking reviewers to answer “Yes,” “No,” “Not Applicable,” or “Don’t Know” for each item.

Instead, reviewers are asked to read the report and use the Guidelines primarily as a reminder of the expected content of a report.[[3]](#footnote-4) In doing so, they should document matters for which the presentation can be improved because the information is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, too verbose, etc.[[4]](#footnote-5) For each such instance, the reviewer should prepare a recommendation.

**Crafting Recommendations for Improvement –** Recommendations can and should be organized in the following categories:

* Improve the report presentation or usefulness
* Eliminate reporting or disclosure deficiencies
* Address editorial matters
* Improve the Summary of Performance and Financial Information (if one has been issued and reviewed).

The first category, “Improve the report presentation or usefulness,” is intended to reflect the notion that transparent and accountable reports are not only comprehensive but also candid, particularly regarding conditions and situations that are already widely known, as well as relevant information that is not yet publicized. The reports present negative as well as positive information, and they do not leave readers with unanswered questions of material concern.

Identifying the category for which a recommendation is provided is helpful.

More importantly, **the recommendations should identify**:

* the deficient/improvable condition,
* the page number of the condition,
* the specific change recommended, and
* the reason why the change would be an improvement.

Recommendations that do not include the above elements are difficult to incorporate into the combined recommendations to be sent to the entity. Section V provides guidance to the reviewer for writing the recommendation as he or she proposes it be presented in the combined recommendations to ensure that the reviewer includes all four of the necessary elements within the recommendation.

One last point. Traditionally, the CEAR program has focused its recommendations to agencies on how to more effectively adhere to the published guidance, including A-136, A-11, GPRA Modernization Act, FMFIA, FFMIA, etc**.** During the review process, some reviewers also comment on such matters as improving a report’s visual impact, increasing the coverage of matters of public interest, and/or explaining why performance targets were not met. **At this point in the evolution of reporting, the CEAR Board believes the AFRs and PARs can become more effective tools for communicating agencies’ accountability and results.** Accordingly, reviewers are encouraged to include recommendations that go beyond the aesthetics of the report and focus more on improving the reporting. Specifically, the AFR/PAR should discuss the agency’s operating performance, stewardship, budgetary integrity, and systems/controls in a fact-based manner to provide a perspective on whether the agency is accomplishing its mission or performance goals.

**Considering Entity Responsiveness -** The most recent letter transmitting the prior year’s recommendations to the agency, the recommendations, and the entity's response to the recommendations will be given to reviewers for entities that have previously submitted their PAR or AFR for review. The reviewers are to refer to the letters and ascertain whether and how the entity has changed the current year’s report (or that the matter to which the comment pertained is not part of the current year’s report).

As indicated, performance and accountability reporting and the CEAR Program are evolving. It is important that entities attempt to continuously improve their reporting. An entity that identifies the modification in the report for all applicable prior year CEAR Program recommendations demonstrates a commitment to continuous improvement. Conversely, not addressing matters identified for suggested improvement could be appropriate in some instances, but is likely to be viewed by reviewers as a reluctance to make changes that could improve the report.

Finally, if a reviewer makes a recommendation to address a matter for which there was a recommendation in the prior year that was not adequately addressed and continues to be relevant, he or she should note that as a repeat of the prior year’s recommendation, as this too, reflects upon an entity’s responsiveness.

**Preliminary Decision Regarding Certificate of Excellence and Special Award(s) -** Reviewers should make a *preliminary* decision (emphasis added) as to whether the CEAR and/or a Special Award should be awarded. In making the decision, the reviewer should consider the extent to and the manner in which the report does or does not fulfill the following Guidelines criteria, remembering that none of the criteria are absolutes, they are considered collectively, and that the criteria pertain to the entity’s reporting, not to its management or performance.

The recommendation of whether a PAR/AFR warrants the “Certificate of Excellence” is a subjective decision and relies upon the professional judgment of each reviewer when evaluating the entirety of the agency’s report. Key factors in the reviewer’s recommendation include:

* Does the report substantially comply with the technical, statutory, and regulatory requirements for the Reports?
* Does the report use results-oriented performance measures to report accurately and candidly on the entity’s performance against its planned performance goals and use of resources?

* Is the report concise, informative, integrated, readable, and inviting to the intended audience?
* Does the report demonstrate coordination among the CFO, Performance Improvement Officer, program offices, offices responsible for performance reporting, and the IG?[[5]](#footnote-6)
* Does the report reflect an effort and desire to continuously improve the entity’s performance and accountability reporting, i.e., there are minimum editorial problems, and the entity is responsive to the prior year’s recommendations for improvement?
* Does the agency demonstrate a reasonable level of responsiveness to the prior year’s CEAR comments, including rationale for dissent (if applicable)?

There are two mandatory requirements that are necessary for receiving the CEAR. **The PAR or AFR is issued by the date prescribed by OMB, specifically November 17, 2025. The auditors’ opinion on the financial statements is unmodified as of that date.7**

The reviewer should then complete the separate **CEAR Reviewer Submission Form**. The preliminary vote for or against awarding the Certificate of Excellence should be marked. Entities not receiving the Certificate of Excellence will want to know, in addition to the recommendations for improvement, the major reasons why they are not being recognized for the CEAR. Therefore, reviewers voting against the award should provide a concise narrative description of the factors that they considered in reaching their preliminary vote. This information will enable the reviewer to prepare for the team meeting, and it will facilitate the lead reviewer’s preparation for that meeting.

Only the completed **CEAR Reviewer Submission Form** should be submitted to AGA electronically. The CEAR Program Guidelines document **should not** be submitted.

**Final Recommendation Regarding Certificate of Excellence and Special Award(s) -** The last step is vetting and communicating the results. The Lead Reviewer will combine the outstanding features of the report, recommendations, and special award nominations into a single document and send the document to the Review Team (described on page 4) members. The Review Team will convene to:

1) review the combined recommendations and decide which ones should be transmitted to the entity and/or require modification;

2) make the final decision as to whether to recommend that the Board award the Certificate of Excellence and whether to recommend a Special Award, and

3) determine the language that should be included in the transmittal letter to the entity.

**Reviewers are expected to participate in this meeting in person or virtually.** AGA’s staff will prepare the final version of the recommendations and a draft letter transmitting the team’s decision and circulate them for team approval.

**Lead reviewers are expected to compile team recommendations**, including any notes on the basis for the team votes for each agency, for presentation to the Board.

For all cases where teams conclude that an award should not be made or do not agree (within the team) that an award should be made, the Lead Reviewers, as a group, discuss the basis for those conclusions to ensure consistency in those decisions.

Following Board approval of awardees (and internal AGA staff’s final quality review of the recommendations and letters, conferring with the Board, as necessary), AGA will send the approved letter and recommendations to the entity.

Reviewers should not identify any review team members to others, even after the final vote. Nor should they discuss the content or results of the review with anyone other than AGA’s CEAR Program Manager—Stephanie Brady, who can be reached at [sbrady@agacgfm.org](mailto:sbrady@agacgfm.org) or the Review Team’s Lead Reviewer.

**THE SPECIAL AWARDS COMPONENT**

First presented for the FY 2009 reports, Special Awards are an important part of the program. These are Awards above and beyond the Certificate that encourage innovation and improvement in areas important to performance and accountability. A single award will be given in each of a limited number of categories in which a report excels. An entity generally cannot win more than one Special Award each year, although circumstances may dictate otherwise.

The CEAR program was envisioned to enhance federal **accountability** reporting by focusing on **BOTH** financial and mission/performance reporting excellence. Financial accounting and accountability are related. Whereas accounting involves recording and reporting useful financial information and disclosures on an entity to its stakeholders, accountability more broadly involves being answerable, transparent, and responsible for the entity’s activities. With commercial entities, accountability information often focuses on financial matters, such as assets, liabilities, and net income. With government entities, accountability information includes financial matters, but it also includes accountability for faithful compliance with relevant laws and regulations, efficiency in operations, stewardship, and performance results of programs and activities.

In prior years, Special Awards have been made in various categories covering components of the AFR/PARs, such as the secretary’s message, the performance summary, financial highlights, the forward-looking information, specific informative note disclosures, the IGs report of the most serious management and performance challenges – or overall report aspects such as inspiring photos and captions, interactive features, or the ability of the MD&A to “tell a story.” Identifying such best practices has been very helpful as a resource for other agencies’ reference in enhancing their reports.

Given the importance of the MD&A in demonstrating accountability, which includes both financial and mission/performance reporting, for FY 2025, the Special Awards will again include a significant focus on integrated accountability reporting and financial and performance information. While Special Awards may still be made for any area of the AFR/PAR, it is expected that FY 2025 Special Awards will include some or all of the following categories:

* Informative introductory material for the agency’s mission, organization, and structure
* Innovative presentation of a matter of wide public interest
* Effective presentation of performance in a PAR/AFR
* Conveying performance information in a creative and inspiring way
* Impressive integration of cost and performance data
* Clear and insightful analysis of financial statements
* Clear and concise financial and performance highlights section
* Informative analysis of systems, controls, and legal compliance

Effective integration of risk (e.g., ERM, internal controls, future challenges) into the MD&A

* Comprehensive or insightful Agency Head or Chief Financial Officer’s message
* Excellence in required note disclosures
* Outstanding Inspector General’s summary of management and performance challenges, and management’s response
* Informative payment integrity disclosures

Reviewers should consider whether the report they review either 1) presents one of the above aspects of the report in such an exemplary manner that it could be considered noteworthy or “Best-in-Class” for that aspect, or 2) contains a truly innovative feature that has not been included in other agencies’ reports. They can note the report in Section V of the Guidelines, but then must provide a bulleted list of reasons to support that nomination. The bulleted list of reasons is critical for the CEAR Board to make an informed and fair decision. Also, even though an entity can win only one Special Award, reviewers can recommend an entity for a Special Award in as many categories as they believe are appropriate.

The reviewers will discuss the recommendations, and a conclusion will be reached at the review team meeting. The CEAR Board will make the final decisions regarding the Special Awards. More than one nominee per special award category may be provided to the Board if circumstances warrant. In general, Lead Reviewers are expected to consult among themselves to select a recommended nominee, but the Board may select among multiple nominees when circumstances or complex factors warrant Board involvement.

**POTENTIAL FUTURE CEAR PROGRAM CHANGES**

The CEAR Board continues to engage with stakeholders, including CEAR participants and reviewers, to identify opportunities to improve the program, drive improvements to accountability reporting, and enhance the prestige and overall effectiveness of the awards program.

The CEAR program was intended to focus on “Accountability” which includes both financial and performance reporting. Therefore, to promote improved mission/performance reporting envisioned by the federal reporting framework, should the CEAR program also evolve to focus more broadly on the mission and performance results envisioned for federal reporting?

This question will be considered by the CEAR Board over the next year or two. For example, the program’s primary award could be modified in future years to offer two types of certificates:

* The current certificate award for reporting that demonstrates basic accountability reporting excellence. This basic reporting provides information that is compliant with related federal reporting guidance.
* An advanced certificate award for reporting that demonstrates enhanced accountability reporting excellence. The enhanced reporting would include information that is more than compliant with related federal reporting guidance. Such enhanced reporting could integrate clear, concise, complete, reliable summary mission results, performance information and trends, and required financial information. Such reporting should also demonstrate the availability of timely, reliable financial data for decision-making, which was the vision of the CFO Act.

Such enhanced reporting may also be demonstrated by reporting that:

* integrates cost and mission performance efficiency and/or effectiveness information,
* integrates reliability validation practices and disclosure/assurance information for mission performance information,
* integrates critical risks, management challenges, controls, and related remedial strategies and actions information, and
* illustrates how management uses financial data throughout the year in its decision-making process.

Alternatively, or additionally, more focus could be directed to the Special Awards component to highlight best practices in mission/performance results reporting. For the FY 2022 through FY 2024 CEAR awards, the CEAR Board has focused a majority of the Special Awards on the performance results presentations in the AFRs/PARs.

The CEAR Board is interested in your views on this topic and any comments on how the CEAR Program might be adjusted in future years to better promote and inspire improvements to accountability reporting and deliver value to program participants. Comments should be sent to AGA’s CEAR Program Manager Stephanie Brady at [sbrady@agacgfm.org](mailto:sbrady@agacgfm.org).

**REPORT ELEMENTS NOT TO OVERLOOK**

As the CEAR program matures, it is important to take stock of what has been learned and to remind ourselves about the intent of the program to recognize excellence in accountability reporting. Most agencies participating in the CEAR program have done so for years and have mastered financial reporting. Performance reporting has grown in importance at a time when trust in government continues to hover at all-time lows. To receive the CEAR Award in the future, agencies need to continue building on this essential element of accountability, never resting on their past laurels. Leading agencies strive to go further each year in reporting on what they have achieved and how they are serving all Americans. Reports should highlight success stories as well as any shortfalls to gain credibility. It is not merely reporting compliance but telling the story clearly and forthrightly, with full context and understanding of the issues that are important to the public and meeting its expectations.

Every agency must “check the compliance boxes” to maintain a clean audit opinion, but the CEAR program is intended to go above and beyond compliance and emphasize more transparent performance reporting that includes excellence in covering the issues highlighted.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agency Head Message** - Many agency head messages describe the agency’s accomplishments without identifying the agency’s mission and strategic goals. Presenting the mission and strategic goals in the agency head's message would enable the accomplishments to have a context. Further, the message should identify any issues or challenges associated with the mission and the agency’s response thereto, and the results of the auditors’ report, as well as some reference to the Inspector General’s Major Management Challenges. Adding this information would demonstrate the Administrator’s acknowledgment of the issues and audit results and enhance the integration and cohesiveness of the overall report. Nevertheless, we also noted that many agency head messages are long and overwhelmingly positive. While challenging to write, a concise but balanced agency head letter is encouraged. |
| **Performance Reporting** - Many reports fail to present narrative or performance measures that help readers assess the relative efficiency and effectiveness of programs, and do not discuss the entity’s performance measurement process. A-136 states that the MD&A should present information about the agency’s success in achieving key performance goals for the year (as required under the *Reports Consolidation Act of 2000*). Further, the MD&A should summarize organizational performance goals, objectives, and results (including output and outcome measures) and link goals to cost categories or responsibility segments associated with net cost and/or budgetary resources. This required information increases readers’ understanding of the relationship between performance and costs and how the entity manages and measures program performance. Across the board, performance reporting continues to greatly improve, but additional evolution should be a goal since this is an opportunity for an agency to tell its story.  The following actions should also be considered to improve performance reporting:   * Provide additional context and discussion of each strategic goal and objective and related key performance measures; * Where data is available, provide more direct alignment between selected performance measures and performance status; * For measures/metrics that were not met - identify why those metrics were not met (would provide a more balanced presentation); and * Provide comparative information on selected performance results. |
| **Enterprise Risk Management** – Most agencies discuss the ERM program, required by the July 2016 revisions to OMB Circular A-123, *Management’s Responsibility for Enterprise Risk Management and Internal Control*. However, improvements could be made to ensure that this discussion is not a restatement of the requirements but rather a more robust description of how ERM is embedded in the agency's mission and to highlight the results of having done so. The report should discuss the maturity of ERM and interrelated fraud risk management programs and what has been achieved or remains to be achieved. Avoid the trap of viewing this as a compliance requirement to check a box. ERM can be a powerful tool if properly used. |
| **Customer Service** – Customer service is not always a focal point in annual reports, even though the President’s Management Agenda prioritizes this issue, referring to a survey that showed the federal government was in last place out of 100 sectors reviewed. Being forthright about problems and challenges is a mark of excellence. Doing so helps build credibility and understanding, provides opportunities to tell the agency’s story and plans for improvement, and enables agencies to reach out and elicit public comments on their views of the agency’s customer service and overall performance, and how specifically it can be improved. It is important to understand that public trust can be improved through customer service and by recognizing the needs and concerns of citizens. |
| **Risk Information** – OMB Circular A-136, Section II.2.1 indicates that entities should disclose the information in SFFAS 64, paragraph 12 in its MD&A. (Note – although SFFAS 64 is not required until FY 2026, early implementation is allowed.) This includes discussing and analyzing significant risks (i.e., the effect of significant uncertainties) identified by management that have a potentially negative effect on key performance results, plans to mitigate such risks, and the potential effect on financial and budgetary results of carrying out those plans. Although most agencies integrate this type of forward-looking information in the MD&A, they frequently fail to identify the entity-specific risks, uncertainties, future events or conditions, and trends that are triggering the actions the agency plans to take in ensuing years. Instead, many agencies focus inward on operational and staffing challenges and other short-term initiatives, rather than outwardly focus on emerging issues and risks that can impact program performance and changes to mission delivery. Providing this information would help readers understand the reasons for the planned future actions. |
| **Resolving Audit Findings** – Agencies should summarize the planned timeframes for correcting audit weaknesses and instances of noncompliance. Unfortunately, many weaknesses persist year after year, some spanning decades. Rather than say that the agency is making remediation progress (i.e., a general statement), agencies should highlight root causes, short- and long-term actions, and the timeline for such corrective actions. This will improve transparency and provide more accountability for remediation results. |
| **IG Summaries of the Most Serious Management and Performance Challenges** –In many Inspectors General (IG) summaries of the most serious management and performance challenges, the IG does not assess the required agency progress in addressing the challenges. This causes management to prepare an extensive description of the steps it has taken and plans to take for each challenge. Similarly, agencies do not always address actions taken or gloss over the lack of actions, treating this as a compliance matter.   * The reader should be able to readily understand management’s position and ongoing and planned actions (including timeframes for completion). The management response should be concise, and any differences/disagreements should be explained. * Some agencies work with the IG to include within the IG summary a complete assessment of progress, which would enable readers to view the progress as more objective plus avoid conflicts in the report. |
| **Editorial Matters** - There are frequent issues with acronyms, typographical issues, rounding errors, and inconsistent fonts/formats. Most reports would benefit from a thorough editing process to:   * Eliminate inconsistencies that could cause reader confusion; * Use one format consistently throughout the document to increase the report’s professional appearance; * Ensure that common acronyms are spelled out when first used (perhaps by report section), then consistently used to improve the readability of the document. However, some unique terms bear repeating as the reader may not remember many pages later what was defined earlier. Therefore, for unique terms, consider avoiding acronyms; * Resolve rounding errors in statements, tables, and note disclosures, and * Ensure the report is visually appealing to the public and easy to navigate and read. Leading agencies continue to do so, and every year, we see impressive improvements. However, there can be a wide variance between agency reports.   A leading practice is to have a cold reader, who has a high level of technical knowledge, perform a final review of the AFR/PAR before publication. Also, agencies should review the document after uploading it to the agency website to ensure that formatting is consistent with the published document in its final form. |
| **Responsiveness to Prior Year CEAR Comments** – Agencies should ensure that comments to prior year AGA CEAR recommendations are responsive, and if a recommendation is not implemented, sufficient detail should be provided to explain the reasons why. The reviewers of reports under the CEAR programs make recommendations to improve reporting. Leading agencies respect the value of these recommendations and welcome the opportunity to improve reporting. They respond proactively and respectfully. Responding that the agency did not have time to do anything on the prior year recommendations, but will consider them for future year reporting, can be an indicator that the recommendations were not valued or may not have even been considered until it was time to submit the current year report to the CEAR program. Also, the CEAR program reviewers want to hear if a recommendation is not practical, but do so in a positive tone and cooperative spirit.  Agencies are also encouraged to submit a crosswalk of the CEAR Guidelines to the AFR/PAR. |

Finally, be a student by reading other agencies' AFRs and PARs for leading practices. It is commendable how far the reports have come in providing accountability and transparency to the American public. Agencies should review reports that are awarded “best practice” recognition each year and the reasons cited by the CEAR Board for awarding that special recognition (these are highlighted on AGA’s website under the CEAR Guidance link). Not every agency will be the same but look for leading practices that would be most applicable to your agency and that represent excellence. Avoid just plugging in new numbers and new examples each year without seeking new ways of presenting information that addresses comments by the CEAR program. Strive to raise the bar each year.

| **significant Additions and changes from the FY 2024 Guidelines**  **FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Description** | **Guideline** |
| 1. | The Guidelines for the MD&A have been updated to indicate where certain requirements of SFFAS 64, *Management’s Discussion and Analysis,* are applicable for FY 2026, but could be implemented in the current year. | Guideline Section I.C. |
| 2. | The Guideline regarding including COVID discussions in the MD&A has been removed (A-136). | N/A |
| 3. | The Guideline has been modified regarding providing forward-looking information in the MD&A. The MD&A should discuss and analyze significant risks (i.e., the effect of significant uncertainties) identified by management that have a potentially negative effect on key performance results, plans to mitigate such risks, and the potential effect on financial and budgetary results of carrying out those plans. This information may be integrated throughout the MD&A, rather than in a subsection for forward-looking information, and references to including information on climate change have been removed. (A-136) | Guideline I.C.26 |
| 4. | A Guideline has been modified regarding the Fund Balance with Treasury note. The table detailing the composition of Fund Balance with Treasury eliminates the “available and unavailable” unobligated balance disclosure and clarifies disclosure for amounts available for future use. | Guideline I.D.III.I.11 |
| 5. | A Guideline has been modified regarding the Restatements note. If a restatement occurred, the information requested for the Reclassification of Financial Statement Line Items should be presented for the prior period on a restated basis. | Guideline I.D.III.I.40 |
| 6. | A Guideline has been modified regarding additional disclosures for deferred maintenance and repairs. Significant entities are required to: (1) describe their method for estimating deferred maintenance and repairs and how inflation in labor and materials costs is used to annually adjust the estimates, and (2) report the minimum maintenance and repair amount needed to ensure that mission-critical facilities remain mission capable. (A-136, affects Required Supplementary Information) | Guideline I.D.III.J.4 |
| 7. | A Guideline has been modified related to *Payment Integrity Information Act (PIIA) Reporting*. In addition to prior requirements, agencies that were not in compliance with the PIIA criteria must add a statement that the agency’s plans to come into compliance with the PIIA criteria are available on PaymentAccuracy.gov (A-136, affects Other Information) | Guideline I.E.8 |
| 8. | The Guideline for Other Information regarding the reporting of climate-related financial risks has been removed. (A-136, affects Other Information) | N/A |
| 9. | A Guideline has been added for significant entities to include, in Other Information, the procedures used to identify, reconcile, and resolve intragovernmental differences with trading partners, if the agency was not able to and did not meet the October 1, 2022, G-Invoicing deadline for New Orders. In this regard, entities may discuss how G-Invoicing has been used and how it will be used for all Buy/Sell transactions as of October 1, 2025. (A-136, affects Other Information) | Guideline I.E.16 |

| **CERTIFICATE OF EXCELLENCE IN ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTING (CEAR) GUIDELINES** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Description** | **Source** |
|  | **Section I – AFR and PAR** |  |
|  | **Part A – Overall Report** |  |
| 1. | The report should be the final printed report available for public distribution (although in some instances, the reviewers will be provided with a camera-ready version of the report). |  |
| 2. | The report should be titled Agency Financial Report or Performance and Accountability Report. |  |
| 3. | The cover of the report should contain the name of the entity, the title, and the fiscal year. |  |
| 4. | The report should have been issued no later than November 17, 2025. | A-136, Section I.5 |
| 5. | The final report’s website location must be clearly identified on the entity’s homepage (typically with a hyperlink). | A-136, Section I.5 |
| 6. | A Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 compliant version of the report should be available from the entity’s website. | A-136, Section I.5 |
| 7. | The Internet address at which the electronic copy can be accessed should be clearly displayed in the report, e.g., the inside front cover, title page, or Table of Contents. The address should be the specific address at which the report is located, either in a single file or multiple files.8 |  |
| 8. | Internet links should be included in the text to enable readers to access additional information. The links should be user-friendly, i.e., not require searching or multiple clicks. |  |
| 9. | A Table of Contents should be included. |  |
| 10. | The table of contents should clearly identify, by page number, the location of all the information required to be included in the AFR or PAR.   1. The location of the management assurances required under the Federal Managers’ Financial Integrity Act (FMFIA), Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA), and OMB Circular A-123, *Management’s Responsibility for Internal Control and Enterprise Risk Management* (A-123), should be identified. Specifically, there should be a section and table of contents reference labeled “Analysis of Systems, Controls, and Legal Compliance.” 2. The location of the IG’s summary of the most serious management and performance challenges should be separately identified. | A-136, Section II.2.4 |
| 11. | The page numbers in the AFR or PAR should be sequential. |  |
| 12 | The report should be logically organized with a structure easy to navigate and that facilitates understanding. |  |
| 13. | The report should be an integrated report that comes across as a single coordinated document, rather than a compilation of sections prepared by different parts of the entity. |  |
| 14. | Formats for presenting similar information should be consistent. |  |
| 15. | The report’s writing quality should be high, i.e., it can be easily read and understood by persons who do not possess expertise in the matters in which the entity is involved, it is direct, and it is concise in the information it conveys.[[6]](#footnote-7) |  |
| 16. | The report should avoid including materials that are not needed for presenting performance and accountability information. |  |
| 17. | The use of technical language, jargon, and acronyms should be limited. |  |
| 18. | The report should be candid and credible, i.e., major problems, challenges, and issues with which the entity is involved should be addressed, particularly those that are already public knowledge. |  |
| 19. | Differences between the IG’s or auditors’ identification of deficiencies, challenges, or other issues and management’s views of the same should be acknowledged and addressed, such that the readers understand the reasons for the difference(s). |  |
| 20. | Vignettes, success stories, and examples should be used to illustrate abstract, complex information and improve readability. They should be contiguous to and complement the text they illustrate. |  |
| 21. | Photographs and graphics should be used to increase visual appeal. They should be contiguous to and complement the text they illustrate. |  |
| 22. | The report should contain a glossary of acronyms. |  |
| 23. | Phone and e-mail addresses are helpful for accessing and obtaining an electronic copy or requesting additional printed copies of the report. |  |
| 24. | A request for comments to improve the report and including a postal or e-mail address to submit the comments reflect an entity’s interest in improving reporting. |  |
| 25. | The responses provided AGA to the recommendations for the prior year’s AFR or PAR, assuming such a document has been submitted, explicitly describe for each recommendation how the recommendation has been addressed in the current year’s AFR or PAR, or provide a logical reason for not addressing the recommendation. |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Part B – Agency Head Message** | | |
| 1. | The Agency Head's Message should be dated. |  |
| 2. | The Agency Head's Message should be brief. |  |
| 3. | The Agency Head's Message should include the entity’s mission, goals, and accomplishments in upholding the mission. |  |
| 4. | The Agency Head's message should address the major, widely recognized issues and challenges associated with the agency’s mission and response to it. |  |
| 5. | The Agency Head’s Message must contain an assessment by the agency head of the completeness and reliability of the performance and financial data used in the report. | A-136, Section II.1.1;  A-11, Section 240.26 (for PARs) |
| 6. | The Agency Head’s Message must describe any material inadequacies in the completeness and reliability of the data and the actions the agency can take to resolve such inadequacies. | A-136, Section II.1.1 |
| 7. | The Agency Head’s Message should describe any material weaknesses in internal control and the actions the agency is taking to resolve them. | A-136, Section II.1.1 |
| 8. | The Agency Head's Message should address the auditors’ report, including the reports on internal control and compliance with laws and regulations. |  |
| 9. | The Agency Head's Message should be comprehensive and candid. |  |
| 10. | The Agency Head’s Message should be consistent with information in other parts of the report, e.g., the discussion of management controls, performance information, the forward-looking information for existing demands, risks, etc., and the IG’s summary of the most serious challenges. |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PART C — MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)[[7]](#footnote-8) | | | |
| 1 | The information in the MD&A may be organized in any manner management determines would benefit users. The information is not required to be presented in sections that correspond to each type of required information. The topics within the MD&A may contain section titles that would be meaningful to the report. | |  |
| 2 | The MD&A should be balanced (presenting positive and negative results), integrated, concise, and understandable.  Significant entities with “high priority” programs for payment integrity purposes are encouraged to specify in the MD&A which programs are high priority (and what that designation means) and to provide a link to payment integrity information reported in the Other Information section or on PaymentAccuracy.gov. | | A-136, Section II.2.1 |
| 3. | As a best practice, MD&A should be updated to reflect changes experienced in the current reporting period. Although specific MD&A text (e.g., text about the entity's mission, organizational structure, and long-term performance goals) might not vary significantly from year to year, other text (e.g., text summarizing financial results) may vary significantly. | |  |
| 4. | As a best practice, the MD&A should reflect a joint effort between CFO preparers and staff responsible for program performance to ensure accurate financial and performance reporting. | |  |
| 5. | | The MD&A should **summarize** information about the agency’s success in achieving key performance goals for the year, as required by the *Reports Consolidation Act of 2000*. | A-136, Section II.2.2 |
| 6. | | The MD&A should **summarize** the entity’s organization and mission. | SFFAS 64, par. 12, which is referenced in A-136, Section II.2.1 |
| 7. | | The MD&A should **summarize** organizational performance goals, objectives, and results (including output and outcome measures) and link goals to cost categories or responsibility segments associated with net cost and/or budgetary resources, as appropriate. | A-136, Section II.2.2 |
| 8. | | Agencies should include key performance results and their associated costs, such as:   1. Performance results that are key to the reporting entity. For example, key performance results could be organized based on responsibility segments as reported on the reporting entity’s statement of net cost; 2. Actual costs incurred by the reporting entity to accomplish key performance results, and 3. Cost savings, if any, that the reporting entity might experience with accomplishing key performance results. | Best practice in FY 2025, required in FY 2026 per SFFAS 64, par. 12.d. |
| 9. | | The MD&A should discuss significant opportunities identified by management to enhance key performance results, plans to leverage such opportunities, and the potential effect on financial and budgetary results of carrying out those plans. | A-136, Section II.2.1, which refers to SFFAS 64, par. 12.e. |
| 10. | | As a best practice, a description of the entity’s performance measurement process helps understand how the entity manages and measures program performance. |  |
| 11. | | The performance information appearing throughout the report should be consistent. For example, measures related to reported achievements of success should be consistent with reports of the successes. |  |
| 12. | | Agencies producing an AFR should state when and where the APR will be available. | A-136, Section II.2.2 |
| 13. | | The MD&A should provide a summary of:   1. Significant changes in financial results, position, and condition that are (1) in excess of 10 percent that are material to the agency’s financial statements; (2) in excess of 10 percent and $10 billion, or (3) in excess of $50 billion (regardless of percentage change). Factors to consider in identifying significant changes include likely public or congressional interest in the change and historical trends in the amount. (Note – for significant entities, these significant changes are also expected to be discussed in the financial statement note disclosures in more detail than presented in the MD&A. The MD&A can summarize and cross-reference key notes discussing these significant changes.) 2. purpose, source, and type of significant budgetary resources, including any significant supplemental appropriations, and the relationship between any significant fees collected and the cost of goods or services provided; and 3. financial management issues arising during the reporting period. | A-136, Section II.2.3 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 14. | The Department of Veterans Affairs should report in its MD&A the present value of projected future payments for the Veteran Pension Program. | A-136, Section II.2.3 |
| 15.  . | Entities required to report a Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI) should discuss critical measures pursuant to SFFAS 37, *Social Insurance: Additional Requirements for Management’s Discussion and Analysis and Basic Financial Statements*, paragraphs 23 through 27, and present a table or other display of key measures, such as net costs, assets, liabilities, net position, and social insurance net expenditures. | A-136, Section II.2.3 |
| 16. | Charts, tables, and graphs should be used to enhance all users' understanding of MD&A. | A-136, Section II.2.3 |
| 17. | The financial amounts in the MD&A should be consistent with those in the financial statements. |  |
| 18. | The MD&A should state the limitations of the financial statements using the following or similar language:  The financial statements are prepared to report the financial position, financial condition, and results of operations, consistent with the requirements of 31 U.S.C. § 3515(b). The statements are prepared from records of the Federal entity in accordance with Federal GAAP and the formats prescribed by OMB. Reports used to monitor and control budgetary resources are prepared from the same records. Users of the statements are advised that the statements are for a component of the U.S. Government. | A-136, Section II.2.3 |
| 19. | The MD&A should summarize:   1. the agency's financial management systems, including systems critical to financial reporting and financial control; 2. the agency's financial management systems improvement strategy; and 3. efforts to maintain compliance with applicable laws. | A-136, Section II.2.4 |
| 20. | The section should provide management’s assurances related to the FMFIA and the FFMIA in a single statement or two separate statements in a section labeled “Analysis of Systems, Control, and Legal Compliance.” | A-136, Section II.2.4 |
| 21. | The assurance statement(s) for the FMFIA and FFMIA must be signed by the entity head. | A-136, Section II.2.4 |
| 22. | The statement related to the FMFIA should provide management’s assessment of the effectiveness of the entity’s internal controls (FMFIA §2), and an assessment of whether financial management systems comply with Federal financial systems requirements (FMFIA §4). |  |
| 23. | As a best practice, corrective action plans for FMFIA material weaknesses, including target dates for completion, could accompany the statement of assurance or be included in Other Information. |  |
| 24. | Financial management systems that are not in substantial compliance with FFMIA must be identified, along with the remediation activities planned or underway, the target dates for remediation, and the offices responsible for bringing systems into compliance. | A-136, Section II.2.4 |
| 25. | As a best practice, the corrective action plans and remediation activities could indicate whether the entity is on target to achieve the projected target dates or slippage has occurred from target dates reported in the prior year’s report; and if the latter, the reasons for the slippage and how the slippage shall be offset. |  |
| 26. | When management does not include in the assurance statement findings disclosed in the auditors’ report, management should explain why it does not agree and must describe how the disagreement will be addressed. | A-136, Section II.2.4 |
| 27. | The MD&A should discuss information reflecting entity-specific significant risks (i.e., the effect of significant uncertainties) that could have a potentially negative effect on key performance results, plans to mitigate such risks, and the potential effect on financial and budgetary results of carrying out those plans. | SFFAS 64, par. 12f (referred to in A-136, Section II.2.1) |
| 28. | As a best practice, the reasons for the unique risks, uncertainties, future events, conditions, or trends could be presented to provide context for the actions planned or already taken. |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART D – FINANCIAL SECTION | | |
| I. CFO Letter[[8]](#footnote-9) | | |
| 1. | The AFR may include a letter from the entity’s CFO that briefly summarizes:   1. plans (including time frames) for correcting audit weaknesses[[9]](#footnote-10) and instances of noncompliance; 2. any impediments to such plans; and 3. progress made in addressing previously reported audit weaknesses and instances of noncompliance. | A-136, Section II.1.1 |
| 2. | If included, the letter should:   1. present other important financial management information, such as the entity’s significant financial management accomplishments; the status of the entity’s financial management systems in terms of their ability to provide the services and information needed for conducting the entity’s programs; any significant changes underway to improve this ability; etc. 2. identify the results of the audit; 3. be dated, and 4. be signed. |  |

| II. Auditors’ Reports | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | If the Report includes a memorandum from the IG transmitting the auditors’ reports, the transmittal letter should:   1. identify the audit requirements and objectives; 2. state the audit results, referencing:    1. the opinion on the financial statements;    2. whether the entity had effective[[10]](#footnote-11) internal control over financial reporting (including safeguarding assets) and compliance with laws and other matters;    3. whether the entity’s financial management systems substantially complied[[11]](#footnote-12) with the requirements of FFMIA;    4. reportable non-compliance with provisions of laws tested or other matters; and    5. other significant matters identified by the auditors, and 3. indicate the degree of responsibility the IG is taking for the auditors’ work, i.e.:    1. no assurance (IG did not review auditors’ work); or    2. negative assurance on compliance with GAGAS (IG reviewed auditors’ work and review disclosed no instances where auditors did not comply, in all material respects, with GAGAS. | GAO/CIGIE Financial Audit Manual 670.21 & 670B |
| 2. | Final AFRs/PARs should be posted to the agency website by the close of business on November 17, 2025. Therefore, because the auditors’ report must be included in the AFR/PAR, the auditors’ reports should be dated November 17, 2025, or prior. | A-136, Section I.5 |
| 3. | The auditors’ report on the financial statements may be 1) combined with the reports on internal control over financial reporting and compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements; or 2) separate from the reports on internal control over financial reporting and compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, in which *Government Auditing Standards* requires a reference to those reports. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements* (OMB Bulletin 24-02), para 7.1 |
| 4. | The auditors’ report(s) must state that the audit was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and the provisions of OMB Bulletin 24-02, unless the auditor is also giving an opinion on internal control. (If an auditor is giving both an opinion on the financial statements and an opinion on internal control, the OMB Audit Bulletin does not provide additional requirements; thus, referring only to *Government Auditing Standards* is appropriate.) | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.2 |
| 5.  . | The auditors’ report on the financial statements must include an opinion as to whether the reporting entity's basic statements are fairly presented in all material respects in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, unless the auditor concludes that, based on the audit evidence obtained, the financial statements as a whole are materially misstated or the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to conclude that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement.[[12]](#footnote-13)   1. If the auditor concludes that the misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, are material but not pervasive to the financial statements, or is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base the opinion but concludes that the possible effects on the financial statements of undetected misstatements, if any, could be material but not pervasive, the auditor should express a modified opinion. 2. If the auditor concludes that the misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, are both material and pervasive to the financial statements, the auditor should express an adverse opinion. 3. If the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base an opinion and concludes that the possible effects on the financial statements of undetected misstatements, if any, could be both material and pervasive, the auditor should disclaim an opinion. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para. 7.3 and 7.4 |
| 6. | The auditors’ report on the financial statements may include an emphasis-of-matter paragraph if the auditor considers it necessary to draw users' attention to a matter appropriately presented or disclosed in the financial statements that, using professional judgment, is of such importance that it is fundamental to users' understanding of the financial statements. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.5 |
| 7. | The auditors’ report may include an “other matter” paragraph if the auditor considers it necessary to draw users’ attention to any other matter other than those appropriately presented or disclosed in the financial statements that, using professional judgment, is of such importance that it is fundamental to users’ understanding of the financial statements, such as certain situations related to prior period financial statements that have been audited by a predecessor auditor or have not been audited. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.6 |
| 8. | When there is a restatement of previously issued financial statements to correct a material misstatement, the auditors must include certain information in an emphasis-of-matter paragraph in the reissued or updated auditors’ report on the restated financial statement(s), as indicated in AU-C 560, 700, 705, 706, and 708, such as:   1. a statement disclosing that a previously-issued financial statement(s) has been restated for the correction of a material misstatement in the respective period; 2. a statement that the previously-issued financial statement(s) was materially misstated and that the previously-issued auditors’ report (including report date) is withdrawn and replaced by the auditors’ report on the restated financial statement(s), and a change in the audit opinion; 3. a reference to the note(s) to the financial statements that discusses the restatement; and 4. if applicable, a reference to the report on internal control over financial reporting containing a discussion of any significant internal control deficiency identified by the auditor as having failed to prevent or detect the misstatement and what action management has taken to address the deficiency. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.7 |
| 9. | If at the time of issuance of the auditors’ report, a material misstatement(s) has been identified in any of the previously issued financial statements and the specific amount of the misstatement(s) and the related effect(s) of such are unknown, the audit report on the previously issued financial statements will be updated, as appropriate and should include:   1. a statement that a material misstatement(s) or potential material misstatement(s) affects a previously-issued financial statement(s) but the specific amount(s) of the misstatement(s) and the related effect(s) of such are unknown; 2. a reference to the note(s) to the financial statements that discusses the restatement or potential restatement; and 3. a statement disclosing that a restatement(s) to a previously-issued financial statement(s) will or may occur. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.8 |
| 10. | An auditors’ opinion on supplementary information (such as consolidating information in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole) should follow the requirements in AU-C 725, *Supplementary Information in relation to the Financial Statements as a Whole.* | OMB Bulletin 24-02, paras. 6.3 and 7.9 |
| 11. | An auditors’ report reporting on RSI should follow the requirements in AU-C 730, *Required Supplementary Information* and GAO-24-106986, Financial Audit Guidance: *FY 2024 and FY 2025 Audit Procedures for Government Land.*  (Consistent with AU-C 730.07, the auditors’ report should explain, as applicable, whether any required supplementary information is omitted, any material departures from the prescribed guidelines, the auditor’s inability to complete certain procedures, prescribed by AU-C 730.05, and unresolved doubts about whether the required supplementary information is presented in accordance with prescribed guidelines.) | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.10 |
| 12. | An auditors’ report reporting on other information included in the AFR or PAR should follow the requirements in AU-C 720. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.11 |
| 13. | The report on internal control should include:   1. when not providing an opinion on internal control, a statement that the auditor considered the Entity’s internal control over financial reporting to design the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control; 2. an identification of significant deficiencies and material deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, a description of the significant deficiencies and material deficiencies, if any, and an explanation of their potential effects; a description of the scope of the auditors’ testing of internal control over financial reporting, and whether the tests performed provided sufficient, appropriate evidence to support an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control.   If no material deficiencies were identified during the audit, the report must state that no deficiencies in internal control were identified that were considered to be material deficiencies during the audit of the financial statements.  If the auditor identifies any material weakness(es) not reported by the entity in its FMFIA Statement of Assurance, the auditor’s report must include a statement about this.   1. a statement that either the objective (1) was not to provide an opinion on internal control and, therefore, such an opinion is not expressed, or (2) was to provide an opinion on internal control, and references the opinion. 2. in those instances where the auditor provides an opinion on internal control, 3. inclusion of the word independent in the report’s title; 4. a statement that management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control and for evaluating the effectiveness of internal control; 5. an identification of management's assertion on internal control that accompanies the auditors’ report, including a reference to management's report; 6. a statement that the auditors’ responsibility is to express an opinion on the entity's internal control (or on management's assertion), based on his or her examination; 7. a statement that the examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; 8. a statement that such standards require that the auditor plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control was maintained in all material respects; 9. a statement that an examination includes obtaining an understanding of internal control, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as the auditor considers necessary in the circumstances; 10. a statement that the auditor believes the examination provides a reasonable basis for the stated opinion; 11. a definition of internal control, using the same description of the entity's internal control as management uses in its report; 12. a paragraph stating that, because of inherent limitations, internal control may not prevent, or detect and correct misstatements and that projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate; 13. the auditors’ opinion on whether the entity maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control as of the specified date, based on the control criteria; or the auditors’ opinion on whether management's assertion about the effectiveness of the entity's internal control as of the specified date is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the control criteria; 14. the auditors’ manual or printed signature, and 15. the date of the report. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.13    OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.14  OMB Bulletin 24-02 para 7.14    OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.15, AU-C 940  OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.16 |
| 14. | The report on compliance should include:   1. noncompliance with provisions of applicable laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements identified in the audit, except for those instances of noncompliance that, in the auditor's judgment, are clearly inconsequential; 2. a description of the scope of the auditor's testing of compliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements; 3. whether the tests they performed provided sufficient, appropriate evidence to support an opinion on compliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements;[[13]](#footnote-14) and 4. whether the objective (1) was not to provide an opinion on compliance with provisions of applicable laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and, therefore, the auditor does not express such an opinion, or (2) was to provide an opinion on compliance with provisions of applicable laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements. | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.17 and 7.19 |
| 15. | The report on compliance should also reflect instances in which the entity’s financial management systems did not substantially comply with the 1) Federal financial management system requirements, 2) Federal accounting standards, or 3) United States Standard General Ledger at the transaction level requirements of the FFMIA; or state that the audit disclosed no instances in which the entity’s financial management systems did not substantially comply.[[14]](#footnote-15)   1. Where tests disclose that the reporting entity’s systems did not comply substantially with one or more of the three requirements, the auditors’ report on compliance, (or an accompanying schedule that is referenced in the compliance report) should disclose which of the three requirements for which the reporting entity’s systems did not substantially comply and group findings together based on the requirement they relate to; 2. When tests disclosed that the entity’s systems did not substantially comply with one or more of the three previously mentioned requirements, the report should identify: 3. which of the three requirements the reporting entity's systems did not substantially comply with; 4. the entity or organization responsible for the system(s) found not to comply substantially; 5. the nature and extent of the non-compliance, the primary reasons or causes thereof, and relevant comments from management or employees responsible for the non-compliance; and 6. the recommended remedial actions and time frames for implementing the actions.[[15]](#footnote-16) | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.20 |
| 16. | The auditors’ report should contain the reporting entity’s comments on the auditors’ findings, conclusions, and recommendations, including the status of corrective actions taken or planned on current and prior findings, or a statement that corrective actions are not necessary.[[16]](#footnote-17) | OMB Bulletin 24-02, para 7.21 |
| 17. | The final report(s) should be signed by the auditor. | A-136, Section II.1.1 |
| 18. | The auditors’ reports should be easy to read, with the opinions clearly stated. |  |

| III.A Financial Statements - General | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | The financial statements should be included.[[17]](#footnote-18)   1. Balance Sheet. 2. Statement of Net Cost (SNC). 3. Statement of Changes in Net Position (SCNP). 4. Statement of Budgetary Resources (SBR). 5. Statement of Custodial Activity (SCA), when applicable.[[18]](#footnote-19) 6. Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI), when applicable.[[19]](#footnote-20) 7. Statement of Changes in Social Insurance Amounts (SCSIA), when applicable. 8. Note disclosures. | A-136, Section II.3.2 to II.3.8 |
| 2. | The purpose and content of the financial statements should be described in laymen’s terms. |  |
| 3. | The statements and note disclosures should be formatted in an easy-to-read manner, i.e., line items should be understandable, subtotal underlines should be used to enhance readability, and note disclosure tables should be clear. |  |
| 4. | Comparative financial statements should be presented, except for the SOSI, which has different requirements for the presentation of comparative data, and except in cases where Treasury and OMB have approved a single-year presentation.   * 1. Notes should contain information necessary for an understanding of changes between the current and prior years. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 5. | The financial statements, except for the SBR, shall reflect consolidated totals net of intra-entity transactions.   1. The SBR shall be presented on a combined basis, i.e., inclusive of intra-entity transactions. 2. Financial statements that use a multi-column format to present information on an entity’s primary components or lines of business, as well as the consolidated total, shall be titled consolidating statements and include on the face of the consolidating statements a column of the eliminations needed to derive the consolidated amounts. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 6. | Disaggregated Balance Sheets, Statement of Changes in Net Position, or Statement of Custodial Activity, when presented for component organizations, shall be included in Other Information. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 7. | With the exception of the Balance Sheet for which certain presentation rules have been prescribed, the illustrative displays of the financial statements in A-136 may be modified; lines may be added or removed; different words may be used from those in the displays; and lines, notes, and rows or columns in notes that do not apply or are not informative should be excluded. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 8. | Schedule totals presented in the notes in support of amounts presented in financial statements must agree with the amounts presented in the financial statements. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 9. | Dollar amounts should be rounded to the nearest thousand dollar, or million dollar, based upon informative value.   1. Entities should maintain the chosen rounding level throughout the statements, notes, and RSI (including MD&A). 2. Individual line items should sum to the column totals by adjusting the line items for differences created by rounding. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 10. | Line numbers should not appear on the financial statements. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 11. | Note disclosures should be sequentially numbered. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 12. | Corrections of material errors should be presented by correcting the individual amounts in the financial statements of the period affected; or if the material error occurred in a period earlier than the period for which the financial statements are presented, then as a prior period adjustment to the beginning balance of cumulative results of operations for the cumulative amount of the error(s). The information should include:   1. The nature and cause of the known or likely misstatement(s), the amount(s) of the known or likely misstatement(s) and the related effect(s) on the previously issued financial statement(s) and the effect of its correction on relevant balances, and a notice that the previously issued financial statement(s) will or may be restated. should be disclosed in the notes, and 2. Prior period financial statements should be restated only for corrections of errors that would have caused any statements presented to be materially restated. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 13. | Prospective changes in accounting principles should be reported in the following manner:   1. report the change in accounting principle by recording and presenting an adjustment to the beginning balance of cumulative results of operations in the SCNP for the period that the change is made; 2. present prior period financial statements presented for comparative purposes as previously reported; and 3. disclose the nature of the change in accounting principle and its effect on relevant balances. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 14. | For retrospective changes in accounting principles, agencies should:   1. report the cumulative effect of the change as a prior period adjustment if only current period statements are presented, with the adjustment made, as applicable, to the beginning balance of cumulative results of operations and/or unexpended appropriations in the SCNP and to the beginning unobligated balance from prior year budget authority in the SBR;   b. modify individual amounts on the financial statements in the earliest affected period presented  (if the earliest period presented is not the period in which the change in accounting principle is retrospectively applied and the cumulative effect is attributable to prior periods, the cumulative effect should be reported as a prior period adjustment as stated in the previous bullet; and  In addition, disclose the nature of the change in accounting principle and its effect on previously issued financial statements and relevant balances. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |
| 15. | Changes to prior year financial statements (including notes) presented in the current year comparative financial statements that are made to conform to the current year presentation and that are not the result of a correction of an error or a change in accounting principle are generally changes in presentation. These include changes in aggregation of line items, such as other assets or other liabilities, reclassification changes for components of line items, and changes in presentation under Circular A-136. Describe any significant change in presentation in Note 1. | A-136, Section II.3.1 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| III.B Financial Statements - Balance Sheet | | |
| 1. | The asset and liability line titles on the numbered lines shown in the template should be used (with a few modifications as allowed by OMB Circular A-136).   * 1. If a line title is not applicable to an entity, the line title should not be used. If a line title is immaterial to an entity, the entity must (1) use the numbered line title with the immaterial amount presented, (2) present the immaterial amount in the numbered line title as a discrete component under Other Assets or Other Liabilities, or (3) disclose the amount for the numbered line title under Other Assets or Other Liabilities.   2. An entity may disaggregate a required line title into two or more entity-specific line titles. The detail must sum to the total that would otherwise be required for the numbered line item.      * 1. Subtotal lines for numbered lines may be added where detail lines are used and, if added, must be placed underneath the detail lines. | A-136, Section II.3.2.2 |
| 2. | Entities should use “other than intragovernmental” to refer to amounts that are not intragovernmental or may use “with the public”. | A-136, Section II.3.2.2 |
| 3. | Entity assets should be combined with non-entity assets, except for non-entity assets meeting the definition of fiduciary assets. | A-136, Sections II.3.2.1 and II.3.2.3 |
| 4. | Intragovernmental assets must be reported separately from assets associated with the Federal Reserve, Government-sponsored enterprises and other non-consolidation entities (which would include organizations and individuals considered to be a part of the general public). | A-136, Section II.3.2.3 |
| 5. | Investments in Federal securities must be reported separately from investments in non-Federal securities. | A-136, Section II.3.2.3 |
| 6. | Gross receivables must be reduced to net realizable value by an allowance for doubtful accounts. | A-136, Section II.3.2.3 |
| 7. | Interest receivable should be reported as a component of the appropriate asset account. | A-136, Section II.3.2.3 |
| 8. | Interest receivable related to pre-1992 and post-1991 direct loans and acquired defaulted guaranteed loans must be reported as a component of loans receivable and related foreclosed property. | A-136, Section II.3.2.3 |
| 9. | For seized property, only monetary instruments should be recognized. If seized monetary instruments are reported, a corresponding liability should also be reported. Under Technical Bulletin 2024-1, for purposes of applying the seized and forfeited asset property guidance in paragraphs 57-78 of SFFAS 3, central bank digital currencies are considered monetary instruments, and all other digital assets are considered non-monetary property.  Forfeited intangible assets, including digital assets, should be recognized at market value at the time of forfeiture (see SFFAS 3, paragraphs 70-72 and Technical Bulletin 2024-1, paragraphs 15 and 20-26) along with an offsetting deferred revenue. Forfeited and seized digital assets should be disclosed pursuant to Technical Bulletin 2024-1, paragraphs 28-29. | A-136, Section II.3.2.3 |
| 10. | A note that discloses information about stewardship PP&E must be included and referenced on the Balance Sheet, but no dollar amount is shown on the Balance Sheet. | A-136, Sections II.3.2.2 and II.3.2.3 |
| 11. | Assets that are immaterial to the entity and that do not warrant separate reporting should be reported as Other Assets (with the amount and any additional information necessary to understand the assets disclosed in the notes to the financial statements). | A-136, Section II.3.2.3 |
| 12. | All types of liabilities, i.e., liabilities covered by budgetary resources, liabilities not covered by budgetary resources, and liabilities not requiring budgetary resources, should be aggregated on the face of the Balance Sheet. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 13. | Intragovernmental liabilities should be reported separately from liabilities to the Federal Reserve, Government-sponsored enterprises, and other entities not considered to be consolidation entities (which would include organizations and individuals considered to be a part of the general public). | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 14. | Interest payable should be reported as a component of the appropriate liability account. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 15. | Negative total loan guarantees should be reported as an asset, rather than as a liability. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 16. | Entities responsible for accounting for pensions, other retirement benefits, and/or other post-employment benefits should calculate and report those amounts on the balance sheet as Pension, Post-Employment, and Veterans Benefits Payable.  The notes should disclose the actuarial liabilities, assumptions used to compute the actuarial liabilities, assets, and the components of the expenses for the period. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 17. | The liabilities for Federal employee salary, leave, and benefits payable should be reported in a separate line on the Balance Sheet and includes salaries, wages, funded and unfunded annual leave, sick leave, the employers’ portion of payroll taxes and benefit contributions (e.g., the employer contributions to the Thrift Savings Plan) that is not intragovernmental, the employer share of benefit premium payables due to benefit carriers, and employment-related liabilities not included in Pension, Post-Employment, and Veterans Benefits Payable. Immaterial amounts may be reported in Other Liabilities. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 18. | The liability for cleanup costs may be displayed separately or included with Other Liabilities, depending on the materiality of the amount. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 19. | The liability for insurance and guaranties programs, except social insurance, loan guarantee programs, and life insurance, should be reported as a separate line item if material and as a component of Other Liabilities if immaterial.  The separate disclosure for the insurance and guaranty programs would be in the Insurance Programs note. The separate disclosure for the life insurance program would be in the Pension, Post-Employment, and Veterans Benefits Payable note. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 20. | Liabilities that are immaterial to the entity and do not warrant separate reporting should be reported as Other Liabilities (with the amount and any additional information necessary to understand the liabilities disclosed in the notes to the financial statements). | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 21. | Lease liabilities and unearned lease revenue for leases other than short-term leases, contracts or agreements that transfer ownership, and intragovernmental leases, should be reported as a component of Other Liabilities, in accordance with guidance in SFFAS 54, *Leases*. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 22. | Liabilities for claims or other contingencies for which the conditions for liability recognition have been met, i.e., a past event or transaction has occurred, the future outflow of resources is probable and measurable, should be reported. | A-136, Section II.3.2.4 |
| 23. | The portion of unexpended appropriations and cumulative results of operations attributable to Funds from Dedicated Collections, if material, should be shown separately for significant entities on a consolidated basis on the Balance Sheet and should equal amounts disclosed in the notes. Total unexpended appropriations eliminations and total cumulative results of operations eliminations (i.e., between Funds from Dedicated Collections and Funds from Other than Dedicated Collections) should be zero.  Entities have the option to use separate lines or parenthetical amounts within the line item totals to display the Funds from Dedicated Collections on the face of the Balance Sheet. | A-136, Section II.3.2.1, and II.3.2.5 |
| 24. | Unexpended appropriations reported on the Balance Sheet must equal unexpended appropriations reported on the SCNP. | A-136, Section II.3.2.5 |
| 25. | Cumulative results of operations reported on the Balance Sheet should equal cumulative results of operations reported on the SCNP. | A-136, Section II.3.2.5 |

| III.C Financial Statements – Statement of Net Cost | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | The SNC should show the net cost of operations of the reporting entity, as a whole and by major programs. Programs not deemed “major” should be grouped together. | A-136, Section II.3.3.1 |
| 2. | The information in the SNC may be supplemented by schedules in the Notes to the Financial Statements that display the full costs and related exchange revenues for the entity’s sub-organizations and/or programs as defined by the entity. | A-136, Section II.3.3.1 |
| 3. | The reporting entity should report the full cost, which consists of (a) both direct and indirect costs, and (b) the costs of identifiable supporting services provided by other programs within the reporting entity and other reporting entities. The costs of program outputs should include the following costs of services provided by other entities, regardless of whether the providing entity is fully reimbursed: 1) employees’ pension, postretirement health, and life insurance benefits, 2) other post-employment benefits for retired, terminated, and inactive employees (including unemployment and workers compensation under the Federal Employees Compensation Act), and 3) losses in litigation proceedings. | A-136, Sections II.3.3.1 and II.3.3.3 |
| 4. | Costs that are related to the production of outputs should be reported separately from non-production costs. The costs of acquiring, constructing, improving, reconstructing, or renovating heritage assets, other than multi-use heritage assets, or acquiring stewardship land should be reported separately from other non-production costs. | A-136, Section II.3.3.3 |
| 5. | The full amount of exchange revenue is to be reported on the SNC regardless of whether the entity is permitted to retain the revenue in whole or in part. Earned revenue should be deducted from the gross cost to determine the net cost of each program. | A-136, Section II.3.3.4 |
| 6. | Entities reporting liabilities for Federal civilian and military employee pensions, other retirement benefits (ORB), and other postemployment benefits (OPEB), including veterans’ compensation, should report on a separate line gains and losses from changes in long-term assumptions used to measure program liabilities. | A-136, Section II.3.3.6 |
| 7. | High-level general management and administrative support costs that cannot be directly traced, assigned on a cause-and-effect basis, or reasonably allocated to a program, and non-production costs that cannot be assigned to a program should be reported separately as “costs not assigned to programs. | A-136, Section II.3.3.7 |
| 8. | Earned revenues that are immaterial or cannot or should not be attributed to particular programs should be reported separately as a deduction in arriving at the net cost of operations of the suborganization or entity as a whole. | A-136, Section II.3.3.8 |

| III.D Financial Statements – Statement of Changes in Net Position | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Unexpended Appropriations should be presented first, followed by Cumulative Results of Operations to reflect that appropriations are first received and unexpended, but are then expended and become part of Cumulative Results of Operations. |  |
| 2. | Significant agencies should present Funds from Dedicated Collections (FDC) on a consolidated basis in the SCNP and on a combined and consolidated basis in the notes.  Agencies should label the presentation of the FDC as combined or consolidated amounts. | A-136, Section II.3.4.1 |
| 3. | Agencies have the option to use separate lines or columns to display information on Funds from Dedicated Collections on the face of the SCNP or to use an alternative format with parenthetical amounts within line-item titles. | A-136, Section II.3.4.1 |
| 4. | Non-exchange revenue and other financing sources, including appropriations, and net cost of operations for Funds from Dedicated Collections should be shown separately on the SCNP if Funds from Dedicated Collections are the predominant source of revenue and other financing sources for the entity; or if one (or more) of the entity’s Fund(s) from Dedicated Collections is (are) of immediate concern to the constituents of the fund, politically sensitive, controversial, or accumulating large balances or if the information provided in the financial statements would be a primary source of financial information for the public. | A-136, Section II.3.4.1 |
| 5. | Entities that include custodial collections on the Statement of Changes in Net Position may report the sources and disposition of the collections. | A-136, Section II.3.4.2B |
| 6. | Material net position balances attributable to Funds from Dedicated Collections should be reported separately from Funds from Other than Dedicated Collections. | A-136, Section II.3.4.3 |
| 7. | Nonexchange revenue, components of other financing sources, including appropriations, and net cost of operations for Funds from Dedicated Collections meeting the criteria in SFFAS 27 should be reported separately. | A-136, Section II.3.4.3 |
| 8. | Beginning balances for unexpended appropriations and cumulative results of operations should agree with the net position on the prior year’s Balance Sheet. | A-136, Section II.3.4.4 |
| 9. | The amount reported as Appropriations Used in the Cumulative Results of Operations section should agree with the amount reported as Appropriations Used in the Unexpended Appropriations section. |  |
| 10. | The amount reported as the net cost of operations should agree with the amount reported as the net cost of operations on the SNC. |  |
| 11. | Net position-end of period should be consistent with net position reported on the current year’s Balance Sheet. |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| III.E Financial Statements – Statement of Budgetary Resources | | |
| 1. | The information in the SBR must be presented on a combined basis. | A-136, Section II.3.5.2 |
| 2. | Non-budgetary credit reform financing accounts, if any, should be displayed in a separate column. | A-136, Section II.3.5.3 |
| 3. | The total amount reported as status of budgetary resources must equal the amount reported as total budgetary resources in the section above. | A-136, Section II.3.5.6 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| III.F Financial Statements – Statement of Custodial Activity | | |
| 1. | A SCA should be prepared if the entity collects amounts of non-exchange revenues for the General Fund of the Treasury, a trust fund, or other recipient entity (e.g., taxes and duties collected by the IRS or CBP), or certain exchange revenues (e.g., oil and gas revenues), as specified in SFFAS 7. | A-136, Section II.3.6.1 |
| 2. | The components of cash collections should be separately reported. | A-136, Section II.3.6.3 |
| 3. | The amounts of refunds that are material in relation to the gross collections should be considered for separate reporting, by component, in a note disclosure. | A-136, Section II.3.6.3 |
| 4. | Non-exchange revenue accrual adjustments should be separately reported. | A-136, Section II.3.6.3 |
| 5. | The disposition of collections, including amounts transferred to others along with the names of the transferees, amounts yet to be transferred, amounts of refunds and other payments, and amounts retained by the collecting entity, should be reported. | A-136, Section II.3.6.4 |
| 6. | The total Custodial Revenue should equal the total of the Disposition of Collections. The Custodial Revenue less Disposition of Collections (i.e., net custodial activity) should equal zero. | A-136, Section II.3.6.5 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| III.G Financial Statements – Statement of Social Insurance | | |
| 1. | The SOSI should present, for the current and each of the four preceding years, the actuarial present value for the projection period of all future contributions and tax income (excluding interest) received from or on behalf of current and future participants; scheduled expenditures paid to or on behalf of current and future participants; and the difference between these two present values. | A-136, Section II.3.7.1 |
| 2. | The SOSI should include a summary statement at the end of the Statement that presents the open and closed group measures.   1. The open group line item should be the same as the lines on the beginning-of-the-year and end-of-the-year amounts on the SCSIA. 2. The summary section should include assets held by the program, if any, and the totals for the open group unfunded obligation. | A-136, Section II.3.7.1 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| III.H Financial Statements – Statement of Changes in Social Insurance Amounts | | |
| 1. | The SCSIA should reconcile the beginning and ending open group measures and present significant components of the changes in the open group measure for two years, e.g., the change in the valuation period; changes in demographic data, assumptions, and methods; changes in economic data, assumptions, and methods; changes in health care data, assumptions, and methods; changes in methodology and programmatic data; changes in law, regulation, or policy; and changes in the projection base. | A-136, Section II.3.7.1 |
| 2. | The reasons for the significant changes should be disclosed on the statement’s face, in the notes to the financial statements, or both. | A-136, Section II.3.7.1 |

| III.I Notes to the Financial Statements[[20]](#footnote-21) | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Notes that refer to only one line item on a statement should generally be titled the same as the line item. | A-136, Section II.3.8 |
| 2. | **Significant entities** are encouraged to disclose the reasons for significant changes to material line items on the Balance Sheet, SNC, and SCNP within the corresponding line-item note or a separate note if there is no corresponding line-item note. Significant changes are (1) changes in excess of 10 percent that are material to the agency’s financial statements; (2) changes in excess of 10 percent and $10 billion; and (3) changes in excess of $50 billion (regardless of the percentage change).  Note - These significant changes to material line items are also summarized in the Analysis of Financial Statements section of the MD&A, which is expected to be at a higher level and not a duplicate of the more detailed discussion in the notes. | A-136, Section II.3.8 |
| 3. | Information in a note (including the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) should generally not be duplicated elsewhere in the notes, although cross-references to relevant notes may be made. | A-136, Section II.3.8 and II.3.8.1 |
| 4. | The note disclosures should be readable, understandable, informative, complete, and consistent. They should assist the reader in understanding the entity’s operations and financial position. |  |
| 5. | The entity should use good judgment in limiting the size of note disclosures related to semi-important line items and omitting note disclosures related to insignificant line items. |  |
| 6. | The note disclosures should explain financial statement line items and reported financial elements. |  |
| 7. | The Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:   1. may state “The reporting entity is a component of the U. S. Government. For this reason, some of the assets and liabilities reported by the entity may be eliminated for Government-wide reporting because they are offset by assets and liabilities of another U. S. Government entity. These financial statements should be read with the realization that they are for a component of the U.S. Government;” 2. should describe the reporting entity and identify its major components; 3. should describe any consolidation or disclosure entities and related parties, and explain that disclosure entities are not consolidation entities;[[21]](#footnote-22) 4. should summarize the accounting principles and methods of applying those principles that management has concluded are appropriate for presenting fairly the entity's assets, liabilities, revenues, net cost of operations, changes in net position, and budgetary resources:  * disclosing the basis for the valuation, recognition, and allocation of assets, liabilities, expenses, revenues, and other financing sources; and * describing changes in GAAP affecting the financial statements, including those resulting from the adoption of new FASAB standards (such as implementation of SFFAS 54 in FY 2024); and changes from one generally accepted accounting principle to another one that can be justified as preferable;  1. should explain the concepts such as FBWT and Funds from Dedicated Collections unique to Federal financial statements, as well as Federal budget terms and concepts; 2. should disclose significant changes in the composition of the reporting entity or significant changes in the manner in which the reporting entity aggregates information for financial reporting purposes; 3. should explain that accounting standards allow certain presentations and disclosures to be modified, if needed, to prevent the disclosure of classified information; 4. should disclose that fiduciary cash and other assets are not assets of the Federal Government, are not recognized on the Balance Sheet, and are assets of a non-federal party for which the Federal Government is responsible; 5. if a “parent” or transferring entity involved in an allocation transfer with another Federal entity, should explain that there are amounts reported in its net cost of operations, changes in net position, and budgetary resources that reflect activity performed by another Federal entity, and identify the names of the other Federal entities involved in the transfers; 6. if a “child” or receiving entity is involved in an allocation transfer with another Federal entity, should explain that the child account performed an activity that is reported in the parent’s financial statements, and identify the names of the other Federal entities involved in the transfers; 7. if an entity that reports liabilities for Federal employee pensions, ORB, and OPEB, including veterans’ compensation, should disclose the entity’s policy regarding consistency in the number of historical rates used to calculate the average historical Treasury rates from one reporting period to the next, and 8. if an entity that prepares a Statement of Social Insurance, should include a brief statement that the amounts in the Statement are estimates based on current conditions, such conditions may change in the future, and the actual cost may vary, sometimes greatly, from the estimated cost, per SFFAS No. 37, *Social Insurance: Additional Requirements for Management’s Discussion and Analysis and Basic Financial Statements.* | A-136, Section II.3.8.1 |
| 8. | The Summary of Significant Accounting Policies should discloseany additional significant accounting policies specific to the agency not reported in the other notes to the financial statements. |  |
| 9. | The accounting policy disclosures should be informative, including to readers without a Federal government or accounting background. |  |
| 10. | The amounts of non-entity assets should be disclosed by type of asset. Further,   1. The intragovernmental non-entity assets should be disclosed separately from other non-entity assets. 2. Information should be presented, when necessary, to provide an understanding of the nature of the non-entity assets. | A-136, Section II.3.8.2 |
| 11. | Fund Balance with Treasury   1. The portions of the fund balance that are unobligated, obligated but not yet disbursed, and non-budgetary Fund Balance with Treasury should be disclosed. 2. Explanations should be provided for restrictions on unobligated balances that are not available for current use. 3. Discrepancies between the fund balance reflected in the entity’s general ledger and the balance in the Treasury accounts should be explained. 4. Any other information necessary for understanding the nature of the Fund Balance should be disclosed. | A-136, Section II.3.8.3 |
| 12. | The components of cash and other monetary assets shall be disclosed. Also disclosed should be:   1. the nature and reasons for any restrictions on cash and other monetary assets; and 2. bid deposits held in commercial banks and any restrictions on the use or conversion of cash denominated in foreign currencies and the significant effects, if any, on net position of changes in the exchange rate that occur after the end of the reporting period, but before the issuance of the financial statements. | A-136, Section II.3.8.4 |
| 13. | The components of investments; and the cost/acquisition value, amortization method, amortized premium/discount, interest receivable, net investments, unrealized gain/loss, and market value for each component, should be disclosed grouped by type of Treasury security.   1. If the entity holds investments in Treasury securities for Funds from Dedicated Collections, the Investments note disclosure should disclose that: 2. the U.S. Treasury does not set aside assets to pay future expenditures associated with Funds from Dedicated Collections; instead, the cash generated from Funds from Dedicated Collections is used by the U.S. Treasury for general government purposes. 3. Treasury securities are issued to the Funds from Dedicated Collections as evidence of dedicated receipts. 4. Treasury securities held by the Funds from Dedicated Collections are an asset of the fund(s) and a liability of the U.S. Treasury and will be eliminated by consolidation in the U.S. Government-wide financial statements. 5. When the Funds from Dedicated Collections redeem their Treasury securities to make expenditures, the U.S. Treasury will finance those expenditures in the same manner that it finances all other expenditures. 6. if the entity holds investments in non-Federal securities, disclose:    1. the investment type, i.e., debt securities, equity securities or other assets;    2. the fair value measurements hierarchy classification (Levels 1, 2, 3 or other) by investment type;    3. a reconciliation of the beginning balance to the ending balance disclosing such investment activity as valuation adjustments, gains or losses on sales and dispositions, acquisitions, sales and repayments, changes in accrued dividends and interest, and other; and    4. if the reporting entity’s non-intragovernmental investments are reported on the balance sheet using a method other than fair value, the reporting entity should reconcile the total fair value measurements disclosed in the table to the amount reported on the balance sheet. | A-136, Section II.3.8.5 |
| 14. | The gross accounts receivable, the method used to estimate an allowance for uncollectible amounts for receivables, and the net amount due should be disclosed. The amounts (i.e., gross amount of receivables related to criminal restitution orders monitored by the entity and the estimate of net realizable value determined to be collectible) related to material criminal restitutions should be separately disclosed. | A-136, Section II.3.8.6 |
| 15. | The gross taxes receivable, allowance for uncollectable taxes, net taxes receivable, and method used to compute the allowance should be disclosed. | A-136, Section II.3.8.7 |
| 16.  .  . | Entities reporting loans receivable, net and loan guarantee liabilities should disclose:   1. the direct loan and/or loan guarantee programs administered by the entity; 2. that direct loan obligations and loan guarantee commitments made after FY 1991, and the resulting direct loans or loan guarantees, are governed by the Federal Credit Reform Act (FCRA), as amended, which requires that the present value of the subsidy costs which arises from interest rate differentials, interest supplements, defaults (net of recoveries, fee offsets, and other cash flows) associated with direct loans and loan guarantees be recognized as a cost in the year the direct or guaranteed loan is disbursed; 3. that direct loans are reported net of an allowance for subsidy at present value and loan guarantee liabilities are reported at present value; 4. whether pre-1992 and other non-FCRA direct loans and loan guarantees are reported on a present value basis or are reported under the allowance-for-loss method; 5. that the net loans receivable or the value of assets related to direct loans are not the same as expected proceeds from selling the loans; 6. other information related to direct loan and loan guarantee programs, as appropriate, including a description of the characteristics of the loan programs, any commitments to guarantee, management's method for accruing interest revenue and recording interest receivable, and management's policy for accruing interest on non-performing loans; 7. events and changes in economic conditions, other risk factors, legislation, credit policies, and subsidy estimation methodologies and assumptions that have had a significant and measurable effect on subsidy rates, subsidy expense, and subsidy reestimates; 8. if modifications were made, the nature of the modifications, the discount rate used in calculating the modification cost, and the basis for recognizing a gain or loss related to the modification; 9. if appropriate, the subsidy expense resulting from reestimates that are included in the financial statements, but not reported in the budget until the following year; 10. for reported foreclosed property, the 11. changes from prior year's accounting methods, if any; 12. restrictions on the use/disposal of the property; 13. number of properties held and average holding period by type or category; and 14. number of properties for which foreclosure proceedings were in process at the end of the period; 15. for direct loans obligated prior to FY 1992 and any other non-FCRA direct loans, a table that presents loans receivable, gross, interest receivable, and estimated net realizable value of related foreclosed property; and:     1. if the present value method is used, the present value allowance;     2. if the allowance-for-loss method is used, the allowance for loan losses; and     3. the net value of the assets related to the direct loans;   (Note – if pre-1992 direct loans are immaterial, program-level detail is not required, and this information can be aggregated into one line.)   1. for FRCA direct loans, that presents loans receivable, gross; interest and fees receivable; estimated value of related foreclosed property; the related allowance for subsidy cost; and the value of assets related to the direct loans; 2. a table that presents the total amount of post-1991 direct loans disbursed for the current and prior reporting years for each program; 3. the subsidy expense for direct loan programs by component, specifically:    1. the subsidy expense for new direct loans disbursed by program and component, during the current and prior years, i.e., interest rate differential costs, default costs (net of recoveries), fees and other collections, other costs, total;    2. the subsidy expense for modifications and re-estimates in the current reporting year; and    3. the total direct loans subsidy expense; 4. for each program, the budget subsidy rates for the current reporting year’s cohorts by component, i.e., interest rate differential costs, default costs (net of recoveries), fees and other collections, and other costs;    1. The following disclosure should be included “The subsidy rates disclosed pertain only to the current year’s cohorts. These rates cannot be applied to the direct loans disbursed during the current reporting year to yield the subsidy expense. The subsidy expense for new loans reported in the current year could result from disbursements of loans from both current year cohorts and prior year(s) cohorts. The subsidy expense reported in the current year also includes modifications and reestimates;” 5. a reconciliation between the beginning and ending balances of the subsidy cost allowance for outstanding FCRA direct loans and reported in the Balance Sheet; 6. for each program with defaulted guaranteed loans from pre-1992 and any other Non-FCRA guarantees, a table that presents the gross receivables from defaulted guaranteed loans assumed for direct collection, related interest and fees receivable, estimated net realizable value of related foreclosed property, the present value allowance (or the allowance for loan losses if that method is used), and the value of assets related to defaulted guaranteed loans receivable (although if pre-1992 loan guarantees are immaterial, program-level detail is not required, and this information can be aggregated into one line); 7. for each program with defaulted guaranteed loans from FCRA guarantees, a table that presents the gross receivables from defaulted guaranteed loans assumed for direct collection, related interest and fees receivable, estimated value of related foreclosed property, allowance for subsidy cost, and the value of assets related to defaulted guaranteed loans receivable; 8. for each loan guarantee program, a table that presents the face value of outstanding principal of guaranteed loans, the amount of outstanding principal that is guaranteed, in total and for the current and prior reporting years; 9. for each loan guarantee program, a table that presents the liability for losses on material pre-1992 guarantees using the present value or the estimated future default claims, the present value liability for post-1991 guarantees, and the total liability for loan guarantees (this disclosure is not needed, if immaterial); 10. the subsidy expense for loan guarantees by component, specifically:     1. the subsidy expense for new loan guarantees during the current and prior years, i.e., interest supplement, default costs (net of recoveries), fees and other collections, other costs, total;     2. the subsidy expense for modifications and re-estimates in the current reporting year, i.e., total modifications, interest rate re-estimates, technical re-estimates, total re-estimates, and     3. the total loan guarantees subsidy expense; 11. a table that presents the subsidy rates for loan guarantees by program and component (interest supplement costs, default costs (net of recoveries), fees and other collections, other costs, and total) for the current year’s cohorts; 12. a reconciliation between the beginning and ending balances of the liability for outstanding loan guarantees reported in the Balance Sheet for FRCA loan guarantees; 13. the portions of salaries and other administrative expenses that have been accounted for in support of the direct loan programs and loan guarantee programs.     1. expenses for individual programs, if material, should be reported; and 14. if a significant entity with loans receivable and defaulted guaranteed loans receivable, a summary table that shows the change in net receivables from the prior year. | A-136, Section II.3.8.8 |
| 17. | Disclosures should be made for each of the following categories of inventory and related property (Inventories, Operating Materials and Supplies, Stockpile Materials, Seized Property, Forfeited Property, Seized and Forfeited Digital Assets, Foreclosed Property, and Goods Held Under Price Support and Stabilization Programs), in accordance with Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standard 3 Accounting for *Inventory and Related Property,* as amended, and Federal Financial Accounting and Auditing Technical Release (TR) 4, *Reporting on Non-Valued Seized and Forfeited Property*. (See also Technical Bulletin 2024-1.) | A-136, Section II.3.8.9 |
| 18. | The major classes of general property, plant, and equipment should be identified; and for each class, the cost, associated accumulated depreciation, book value, use and general basis of any estimates used, estimated useful life, depreciation method(s), capitalization threshold(s) and any changes in the threshold(s) during the period, restrictions on the use or convertibility of the general property, plant, or equipment, and, if used, the application of deemed cost in establishing opening balances and a description of the alternative valuation method used. The right-to-use lease assets and related amortization should also be disclosed.   1. If impairment losses have been recognized, there should be a general description of the general property, plant and equipment remaining in use for which the impairment loss is recognized; the nature and amount of the impairment; and where in the financial statements the impairment loss is recognized. 2. Significant entities should include a table disclosing the year-to-year changes in net PP&E. 3. Entities should refer to unaudited required supplementary information for information related to deferred maintenance and repairs and estimated land acreage.   Note: For FY 2026, land values will be removed from the Balance Sheet – see SFFAS 59. Early adoption is not permitted. | A-136, Section II.3.8.10 |
| 19. | Lessor entities should disclose the carrying amount of assets on lease by major classes of assets and the related accumulated depreciation, as required by SFFAS 54, paragraph 67(b). | A-136, Section II.3.8.10 |
| 20. | Entities with heritage assets should disclose information in accordance with SFFAS 29, paragraphs 25 through 27. The note should describe the major categories of the heritage assets; identify the physical units added and withdrawn during the reporting period and on hand at the end of the reporting period and describe the acquisition and withdrawal methods. Entities should refer to unaudited required supplementary information for information related to deferred maintenance and repairs. | A-136, Section II.3.8.11 |
| 21. | Intragovernmental other assets should be disclosed separately from other assets.  Note - If amounts from numbered lines appearing on the Balance Sheet Template are included in Other Assets because they are immaterial, the proper numbered line title must be disclosed in the note disclosure. | A-136, Section II.3.8.12 |
| 22. | Intragovernmental liabilities not covered by budgetary resources should be disclosed separately from other liabilities not covered by budgetary resources.   1. Information needed to understand the nature of liabilities not covered by budgetary resources should be provided. 2. Material amounts of liabilities that do not require the use of budgetary resources should be disclosed and briefly described. This includes lease liabilities for which budgetary resources have not yet been provided (regardless of whether there is a cancellation clause that could be exercised). 3. The note should also disclose the total liabilities covered by budgetary resources thereby enabling the total liabilities to be ascertained. 4. Disclose the amount of other current liabilities that are not covered by budgetary resources, either in this note or in the Other Liabilities note. | A-136, Section II.3.8.13 |
| 23. | For entities issuing debt securities, the components of Federal debt and interest payable/Debt should be identified; and for each component, the beginning balance, net borrowing/repayment (excluding amounts resulting from refinancing), subtotal at par, premium/discount ending balance, debt principal balance, interest payable, ending balance, and names of agencies such as Treasury, the Federal Financing Bank, or entities other than Treasury or the Federal Financing Bank, to whom the debt is owed, should be disclosed.   1. The intragovernmental debt should be disclosed separately from the debt held by the public, with both agreeing to the amounts reported on the Balance Sheet. 2. Disclose any other information relevant to debt (e.g., redemption or call of debts owed to the public before maturity dates, write-offs of Debts owed to Treasury or the Federal Financing Bank, etc.).   The illustrated Debt note disclosure differs for those entities issuing debt securities versus debt owed by other agencies. For other federal debt information (e.g., by non-issuing agencies), disclosure should include the names of the agencies to which intragovernmental debt is owed, the amounts owed, and any other information relevant to the debt securities (e.g., redemption or call of debts owed to the public before maturity dates, write-offs of debts owed). This disclosure is typically in a table that includes beginning balance, new borrowings, repayments, interest and the ending balance. | A-136, Section II.3.8.14 |
| 24. | Entities responsible for administering pensions, ORB(including post-retirement health, life insurance), and OPEB (including veterans’ compensation, burial, and education benefits) should disclose:   * 1. a reconciliation of beginning and ending pension, ORB, and OPEB liability balances, broken out by program.  1. Significant pension, ORB, and OPEB programs should be presented individually in separate columns along with an “all other” column, if applicable, and a “total” column for each line item;    1. Information related to pension assets;    2. information about discount rates, and other assumptions;    3. if responsible for administering pensions, the rates of interest and inflation, projected salary increases, and the cost-of-living adjustment;    4. Separate rates must be disclosed for the Federal Employees’ Retirement System (FERS), the Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS), and the military retirement system    5. If the assumptions used differ from those used by the CSRS, the FERS, and the Military Retirement System, how and why the assumptions differ;    6. if responsible for administering post-retirement health benefits, the rate of interest, the single equivalent medical cost trend rate, and the ultimate medical trend rate used to determine the health benefits liability and related expense;    7. if responsible for administering civilian life insurance benefits, the rates of interest and salary increases used to determine the life insurance benefits liability and related expense;    8. if responsible for workers' compensation benefits, the cost-of-living adjustments and the consumer price index-medical used to determine the benefits liability and related expense; and    9. if responsible for veterans’ compensation and burial benefits, the single-equivalent discount rate and the long-term cost-of-living adjustment used to determine the benefits liability and related expense. In addition, disclose the accounting policy that explains why only amounts due and payable are reflected as a liability for the Veteran Pension Program (the present value of projected future payments for the program should be reported in the MD&A). | A-136, Section II.3.8.15 |
| 25. | Entities with environmental and disposal liabilities should disclose the sources of the cleanup requirements; the method for assigning estimated cleanup costs to the current period; the unrecognized portion of the cleanup costs associated with general PP&E; the material changes in the total estimated cleanup costs due to changes in laws, technology, or plans and the portion of the change in estimate that relates to prior period operations; and the nature of the estimates and possible changes due to inflation, deflation, technology, or applicable laws and regulations. (See SFFAS 6, paragraphs 107-111 and Technical Release 2.)   1. Environmental and disposal liabilities involving multiple component entities should be disclosed by the component reporting entity, recognizing the general PP&E until the general PP&E and the associated liability are transferred to another entity for cleanup, at which time, the liability should be recognized by the component reporting entity that will liquidate the liability. (See Interpretation 9.) 2. The liabilities related to friable and non-friable asbestos cleanup costs deemed probable but not reasonably estimable should be included by entities that own tangible PP&E that contain asbestos. 3. Note – contingent liabilities related to litigation, including environmental and disposal-related litigation, should be reported in the Other Liabilities line item. | A-136, Section II.3.8.16 |
| 26. | Insurance Programs   * 1. For exchange transaction insurance programs other than life insurance, disclose:  1. what is insured or guaranteed, for whom, and what other government agencies and/or commercial insurance programs administer or assume risk for any part of the program; 2. full costs, premiums collected, appropriations used, borrowing needed during the period, and the ability to repay the borrowing; 3. investing activities; 4. duration of the arrangement and renewal characteristics; 5. premium pricing policies; 6. nature and magnitude of uncertainty of estimated amounts to be paid to settle future claims; 7. total amount of insurance-in-force coverage provided as of the end of the reporting period; 8. any events that caused a material change in the amounts recognized during the reporting period; and 9. The components of the unpaid insurance claims liability to include beginning balance, claims expense, claims adjustment expenses, payments to settle claims, recoveries and other adjustments, and ending balance.    1. For non-exchange transaction insurance programs, disclose: 10. what is insured or guaranteed, for whom, and what other government agencies and/or commercial insurance programs administer or assume risk for any part of the program;   ii. full costs, premiums collected, appropriations used, borrowing needed during the period, and the ability to repay the borrowing;  iii. investing activities;   * 1. any events that caused a material change in the amounts recognized during the reporting period; and   2. the components of the unpaid insurance claims liability to include beginning balance, claims expense, claims adjustment expenses, payments to settle claims, recoveries and other adjustments, and ending balance.   c. For life insurance programs, disclose:   1. type of life insurance and specific characteristics of the product; 2. premium pricing policies; 3. full costs, premiums collected, appropriations used, borrowing needed during the period, and the ability to repay the borrowing; 4. investing activities; 5. nature and magnitude of uncertainty of estimated amounts to be paid to settle future claims; 6. total value of life insurance policies issued at the end of the reporting period; 7. net cash surrender value of the policies at the end of the reporting period; 8. any events that caused a material change in the amounts recognized during the reporting period; and 9. the components of the changes in the liability for unpaid insurance claims to include beginning balance, claims expense, claims adjustment expenses, payments to settle claims, recoveries, and other adjustments, and ending balance. | A-136, Section II.3.8.17 |
| 27. | The current portion and non-current portions of intragovernmental and of other than intragovernmental Other Liabilities should be disclosed separately.   * 1. Information necessary to understand the nature of other liabilities should be provided.   2. Legal liabilities reported in this note must be consistent with accrued probable contingencies reported in the Commitments and Contingencies note.   3. If amounts from numbered lines appearing on the Balance Sheet Template are included in Other Liabilities because they are immaterial, the proper numbered line title must be disclosed in the note disclosure.   4. Disclose contingent liabilities, allocation of special drawing rights, actuarial liabilities for Treasury-managed benefit programs, other liabilities without related budgetary obligations, other liabilities with related budgetary obligations, lease liabilities, and unearned lease revenue. A reference to the Leases note should be included for any lease liabilities and unearned lease revenue included in the table in this note. | A-136, Section II.3.8.18 |
| 28. | Leases   * 1. Lessee entities should disclose the following intragovernmental leases information with major asset categories determined by management and with all payments required by the lease treated as lease expenses.      1. a general description of significant leasing arrangements, including general lease terms with any appliable specific intragovernmental requirements, and      2. annual lease expense in total and by major underlying asset category   2. Lessee entities should disclose the following for leases other than (1) short-term leases, (2) contracts or agreements that transfer ownership, and (3) intragovernmental leases:  1. a general description of its leasing arrangements, including the basis, terms, and conditions on which variable lease payments not included in the lease liability are determined; 2. the amount of lease assets and the related accumulated amortization, to be disclosed separately from PP&E assets; 3. The amount of lease expense recognized for the reporting period for variable lease payments not previously included in the lease liability; 4. Principal and interest requirements to the end of the lease term, presented separately for the lease liability for each of the five subsequent years and in aggregate by five-year increments thereafter; 5. The amount of the annual lease expense and the discount rate used to calculate the lease liability (or a range of discount rates disclosed if multiple rates were used for the lease liability); 6. The terms and conditions of sale-leaseback transactions for seller-lessees; and 7. The amounts of the lease and the leaseback, separately, for lease-leaseback transactions.    1. Lessor entities should disclose the following for intragovernmental lease activities: 8. A general description of significant leases, including subleases, and 9. Future lease payments that are to be received to the end of the lease term for each of the five subsequent fiscal years and in aggregate for each five-year increment thereafter.    1. Lessor entities should disclose the following information about leases other than (1) short-term leases, (2) contracts or agreements that transfer ownership, and (3) intragovernmental leases:    2. A general description of its leasing arrangements, including the basis, terms, and conditions on which any variable lease payments not included in the lease receivable are determined, and any subleases;    3. The carrying amount of assets on lease by major classes of assets, and the amount of related accumulated depreciation;    4. The total amount of revenue (for example, lease revenue, interest revenue, and any other lease-related revenue) recognized in the reporting period from leases;    5. The amount of revenue recognized in the reporting period for variable lease payments and other payments not previously included in the lease receivable, including revenue related to residual value guarantees and termination penalties;    6. If the entity’s principal ongoing operations consist of leasing assets through the use of non-intragovernmental leases, a schedule of future lease payments that are included in the lease receivable, showing principal and interest, for each of the five subsequent years and in five-year increments thereafter;    7. The terms and conditions of sale-leaseback transactions for buyer-lessors; and    8. The amounts of the lease and the leaseback, separately, for lease-leaseback transactions. | A-136, Section II.3.8.19 |
| 29. | Commitments and Contingencies   1. Commitments that are not disclosed elsewhere should be disclosed in this note. 2. Contingencies for which conditions of liability recognition are not met and there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss or an additional loss may have been incurred, including legal, environmental, and other contingencies, and claims that derive from treaties or other international agreements, should be disclosed separately. 3. The disclosure should include the nature of the contingency and an estimate of the possible liability or range of the possible liability, or a statement that an estimate cannot be made. 4. Accrued probable contingencies disclosed in this note must agree with amounts reported in the Other Liabilities note. 5. This note should include a reference to the note that discloses environmental liability if non-legal environmental and disposal loss contingencies are disclosed in this note. | A-136, Section II.3.8.20 |
| 30. | Funds from Dedicated Collections (FDC) are encouraged to be reported on both a consolidated and a combined basis.   1. If an entity has material amounts of FDC collections: 2. Federal and non-Federal amounts must be disclosed separately; and 3. amounts should be disclosed for each line title used on the principal financial statements. 4. All Funds from Dedicated collections for which the reporting entity has program management responsibility should be disclosed. 5. The following statement may be included:   Treasury securities reflect a Government commitment to the program and allow the program to continue to provide benefits required by law. When the benefits are paid, the way the Government finances the benefits is similar to the way it finances other disbursements, using some combination of receipts, other inflows, and borrowing from the public (if there is a budget deficit). | A-136, Section II.3.8.21 |
| 31. | Notes Related to the SNC   1. Entities with a complex organizational structure and operations, or whose SNC displays highly aggregated program information, should include supporting schedules to present detailed cost and revenue information to support the summary information in the SNC. 2. Pricing policies and expected losses incurred providing goods or services to the public or other governmental entities should be disclosed. | A-136, Section II.3.8.22  A-136, Section II.3.8.23 |
| 32. | Entities should disclose that only certain inter-entity costs are recognized for goods and services that are received from other Federal entities at no cost or at a cost less than the full cost. Entities should also disclose the general nature of other imputed costs recognized in their financial statements. | A-136, Section II.3.8.24 |
| 33. | Notes Related to the SBR   1. The adjustments during the year to budgetary resources available at the beginning of the year that are necessary to reconcile the beginning balance to the prior year’s ending balance should be disclosed along with an explanation thereof. 2. All adjustments made to the prior year’s ending balance to enable the total adjustments plus the prior year ending balance to equal the beginning balances reported in the SF-133, *Report on Budget Execution and Budgetary Resources*, should be disclosed. 3. The repayment requirements, financing sources for repayment, and other terms of borrowing authority used should be disclosed. 4. The amounts of available borrowing and contract authority at the end of the period should be disclosed. 5. The paid and unpaid amounts of budgetary resources obligated for Federal and non-federal undelivered orders at the end of the period should be separately disclosed. 6. The existence, purpose, and availability of permanent indefinite appropriations should be disclosed (or in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies). 7. Information about legal arrangements affecting the use of unobligated balances (e.g., time limits, purpose, obligation limitations) should be disclosed. 8. The following material differences should be explained: 9. Differences between budgetary resources, new obligations, total upward adjustments, and net outlay amounts from the prior year (i.e., FY 2024 SBR and the actual amounts in the “Detailed Budget Estimates by Agency” in the Appendix of the Budget of the United States Government (i.e., the FY 2024 amounts in the FY 2026 Budget). 10. Differences between distributed offsetting receipts from the prior year (i.e., FY 2024) SBR and the actual amount from the “Federal Budget by Entity and Account” in the Analytical Perspectives of the Budget (i.e., the FY 2024 amounts in the FY 2026 Budget). 11. The disclosure should identify that the actual amounts for the current year (i.e., FY 2025) will be available at a later date. | A-136, Section II.3.8.25 |
| 34. | The amount of any capital infusion received during the year should be disclosed. | A-136, Section II.3.8.25 |
| 35. | Entities that collect immaterial custodial revenues incidental to their primary mission may disclose the sources and amounts of the collections and the amounts distributed to others. | A-136, Section II.3.8.26 |
| 36. | Entities with significant custodial collections may disclose a note that explains the nature, purpose, and impact of the custodial collections on the agency’s financial statements.   * 1. The note should disclose (1) the basis of accounting, (2) for entities that collect taxes and duties, the factors affecting the collectability and timing of accounts receivable, (3) for entities that collect taxes and duties, cash collections and refunds by tax year and type of tax during the current fiscal year and during the prior fiscal year(s), as appropriate, and (4) the reason(s), if any, for not recording trust fund revenues in accordance with applicable law. | A-136, Section II.3.8.27 |
| 37.  . | Notes Related to the SOSI   1. Entities that issue a SOSI should disclose:  * the underlying significant assumptions; * the accumulated excess of all past cash receipts, including interest on investments, over all past cash disbursements within the program; and * that the actuarial net present value of the excess of future scheduled expenditures paid to or on behalf of current participants, that is, of the “closed group” of participants, over future contributions and tax income from them or paid on their behalf is calculated by subtracting the actuarial present value of future contributions and tax income paid by and for current participants from the actuarial present value of the future scheduled expenditures to them or on their behalf.  1. Entities responsible for the Medicare program should disclose the general revenue contributions under Medicare Parts B and D for the current law and alternative scenarios. 2. Entities responsible for the Railroad Retirement program should disclose the financial interchange revenue received from the Social Security program. | A-136, Section II.3.8.28 |
| 38. | Entities collecting and managing cash and other assets in which non-Federal individuals or entities have an ownership interest that the Federal government, as a fiduciary, must uphold should disclose for each major fiduciary activity and all other fiduciary activities combined:   1. the fiduciary relationship, e.g., the applicable legal authority, the objectives of the fiduciary activity, and a general description of the beneficial owners or class of owners; 2. a schedule of fiduciary activity, displaying the beginning balance of net assets; the inflows from the fiduciary activities by category; the outflows by category; the change in net assets; and the ending balance of net assets; 3. a schedule of fiduciary net assets, displaying the current and prior period ending balances of cash and any other assets by category and liabilities by category; 4. a description of the composition of non-monetary fiduciary assets, their valuation method(s), and the changes, if any, from prior period accounting methods; 5. a Schedule of Changes in Non-Valued Fiduciary Assets presenting the beginning quantity, additions, dispositions, net increase/decrease, and ending total quantity of non-valued fiduciary assets; and 6. the number of fiduciary funds for which the entity has management responsibility. | A-136, Section II.3.8.29 |
| 39. | If the financial statements have been restated by a known amount due to material errors, the following information should be disclosed in a separate note, titled Restatements:   1. nature of the error and the reason for the restatement; 2. specific amount(s) of the material misstatement(s), the related effect on the previously issued financial statements, and the impact on the financial statements as a whole; and 3. actions the entity took after discovering the material misstatement or error. | A-136, Section II.3.8.30 |
| 40. | If the specific amount of the restatement is unknown, the entity should disclose:   1. that a material misstatement(s) or potential material misstatement(s) affects a previously issued financial statement(s), but the specific amount(s) of the misstatement(s) and the related effect(s) of such are not known; 2. the nature and cause(s) of the misstatement(s) or potential misstatement(s); 3. an estimate of the magnitude of the misstatement(s) or potential misstatement(s) and the related effect(s) of such on the previously issued financial statement(s) that are known and a statement that the specific amount(s) and the related effect(s) of such cannot be determined without further investigation; and 4. that a restatement(s) to a previously issued financial statement(s) will or may occur.   Note – If a restatement occurred, the information requested for the Reclassification of Financial Statement Line Items should be presented for the prior period on a restated basis. | A-136, Section II.3.8.30 |
| 41. | The entity should include a note disclosure reconciling the entity’s net costs to its net outlays.   * 1. The purpose, nature, and significant line items in the reconciliation should be explained.   2. Entities may present the reconciliation as a basic financial statement, rather than as a note, as permitted by SFFAS 53. | A-136, Section II.3.8.31 |
| 42. | Entities that disclose the existence of public-private partnerships (P3s) (SFFAS 49, paragraph 16 defines P3s as “risk sharing arrangements or transactions with expected lives greater than five years between public and private sector entities” – please refer to the standard for additional information on exclusions and other considerations) should disclose the following:   1. the purpose, objective, rationale, statutory authority, costs, and benefits of the partnership; 2. a description of federal and non-federal funding of the P3 over its expected life, including the estimated mix of federal and non-federal funding and the estimated amounts of such funding; 3. a description of the contractual terms governing payments to and from the partnership (including information on how the expected partnership life was determined, when payments are expected, whether payments are made directly or indirectly through a third party, and any non-monetary payments or in-kind contributions), payments to and from the partnership for the reporting periods, and expected payments over the life of the partnership; 4. information about the contractual risks of loss and potential monetary effects if the risks are/were realized and information about remote risks of loss if included in the terms of the partnership; 5. the disclosures of risk should state in plain language the nature and magnitude of risk of loss. Remote risks of loss should only be disclosed if they are included in the terms of the agreement and should be accompanied by an explanation that the risk of loss is remote; 6. amounts recognized on the statements, instances of non-compliance with provisions governing the partnership’s borrowing or capital investment by the private entity that is contingent on the reporting entity’s promise to pay, and a description of events of termination or default; and 7. the amounts received and paid by the government during the reporting periods and the amounts to be received and paid in the aggregate over the expected life of the public-private partnership.   In determining disclosures for energy-savings performance contracts (ESPCs), entities should consider the materiality of the cancellation ceiling at year-end, reflected in their ESPCs.  Similar agreements or contracts can be combined. | A-136, Section II.3.8.32 |
| 43. | For entities included in the agency’s financial statements as disclosure entities, the agency should disclose information to meet the following objectives:   1. the nature of the Government’s relationship with the entity or entities; 2. the nature and magnitude of the relevant activity during the period and balances at the end of the period; and   c. a description of financial and non-financial risks, potential benefits, and, if possible, the amount of the Government’s exposure to gains or losses from the past or future operations of the disclosure entity or entities.[[22]](#footnote-23) | A-136, Section II.3.8.33 |
| 44. | For entities included in the agency’s financial statements as related parties, the following should be disclosed:   1. the name of the party or a description of the parties if there are multiple parties; 2. the nature of the Government’s relationship with the party; 3. the percentage of Government ownership interest, if any; 4. the financial exposure (gain or loss), if any, resulting from the relationship; and 5. any other information necessary to explain the potential impact of the relationship on reported financial information. | A-136, Section II.3.8.33 |
| 45. | Entities should disclose any significant events or transactions that occurred after the date of the Balance Sheet but before the issuance of the entity’s audited financial statements that have a material effect on the financial statements and therefore require adjustments to or disclosure in the financial statements.  If such disclosure is made elsewhere in the notes, this note should include references to the applicable notes. | A-136, Section II.3.8.34 |
| 46. | Disclose information about the collection of non-custodial non-exchange revenues including: (1) basis of accounting; (2) for entities that collect taxes and duties, factors affecting the collectability and timing of accounts receivable; (3) for entities that collect taxes and duties, cash collections and refunds by tax year and type of tax during the current fiscal year and during the prior fiscal year(s) as appropriate; and (4) the reason(s), if any, for not recording trust fund revenues in accordance with applicable law. | A-136, Section II.3.8.35 |
| 47. | Note Related to the Government-wide Financial Report (required only for significant entities with at least one GTAS non-federal line item in excess of $5 billion)   1. Significant entities, including those required to prepare statements in accordance with accounting standards other than those promulgated by FASAB, must disclose a reclassification of the Statement of Net Cost and Statement of Changes in Net Position. 2. Significant entities (1) not using the Balance Sheet Template in OMB Circular A-136, and (2) those electing not to use the illustrative statement for Note 21, Dedicated Collections, must disclose a reclassification of the Balance Sheet. 3. The note should include the following language to explain the reason for the reclassification.   To prepare the *Financial Report of the U.S. Government* (*Financial Report*), the Department of the Treasury requires agencies to submit an adjusted trial balance, which lists amounts by U.S. Standard General Ledger account that appear in the financial statements. Treasury uses the trial balance information reported in the Government-wide Treasury Account Symbol Adjusted Trial Balance System (GTAS) to develop a Reclassified Statement of Net Cost [and a Reclassified Statement of Changes in Net Position]. Treasury eliminates all intragovernmental balances from the reclassified statements and aggregates lines with the same title to develop the *Financial Report* statements. This note shows the [Entity]’s financial statements and the [Entity’s] reclassified statements prior to the elimination of intragovernmental balances and prior to the aggregation of repeated *Financial Report* line items. A copy of the 2024 *Financial Report* can be found here: <https://fiscal.treasury.gov/reports-statements/financial> report/and a copy of the 2025 *Financial Report* will be posted to this site as soon as it is released.  The term “intragovernmental” is used in this note to refer to amounts resulting from other Federal Government components.  The term “non-Federal” is used in this note to refer to Federal Government amounts that result from transactions with non-Federal entities. These include transactions with individuals, businesses, non-profit entities, and State, local, and foreign governments.   1. The Dedicated Collections Combined column should reflect all transactions that involve Funds from Dedicated Collections, including intra-entity and inter-entity transactions. 2. Significant entities reporting a consolidated net position of Funds from Dedicated Collections on the Balance Sheet must disclose a crosswalk from a combined to the consolidated net position for the Funds from Dedicated Collections. 3. The Dedicated Collections Elimination column should reflect intra-entity transactions that involve only Funds from Dedicated Collections. 4. The Other than Dedicated Collections (with Eliminations) column should reflect inter-entity transactions that involve only non-dedicated collections. 5. The Eliminations between Dedicated and Other than Dedicated Collections column should reflect intra-entity transactions between Funds from Dedicated Collections and other funds. 6. The Total column should reflect inter-entity transactions involving Funds from Dedicated Collections, inter-entity transactions not involving any Funds from Dedicated Collections, and intra-entity eliminations between Funds from Dedicated Collections and Funds from Other than Dedicated Collections. | A-136, Section II.3.8.36 |

| III.J Required Supplementary Information (RSI) | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | All RSI should be presented on a comparative basis when meaningful (although information required for the first time need not be reported for the prior year). |  |
| 2. | Entities receiving revenues from oil and gas should present the value of the federal government’s estimated petroleum royalties from the production of federal oil and gas proved reserves in a schedule. A schedule of oil and gas revenues to be distributed to others must be presented to report the value of estimated petroleum royalty revenue designated for others. | A-136, Section II.3.9.2 |
| 3. | Entities responsible for managing natural resources other than oil and gas should report the value of the estimated royalties and other revenues that are under long-term lease, contract, or other agreement and reasonably estimable as of the reporting date. | A-136, Section II.3.9.3 |
| 4. | The report should:   1. describe the entity’s maintenance and repairs policies and how they are applied; 2. discuss how it ranks and prioritizes maintenance and repair activities among other activities, including non-maintenance and repair real property activities (e.g., construction and disposal); 3. identify factors considered in determining acceptable condition standards; 4. state whether deferred maintenance and repairs relate solely to capitalized general property, plant and equipment (PP&E) and stewardship PP&E or also to non-capitalized or fully depreciated general PP&E and provide beginning and ending deferred maintenance and repairs balances by category of PP&E; 5. identify the categories of PP&E for which management does not measure and/or report deferred maintenance and repairs, and the rationale for the exclusion of other than non-capitalized or fully depreciated general PP&E; and 6. explain the reasons for significant changes from the prior year.   Changes to condition standards, assessment methods, or reporting formats should be accompanied by an explanation and reason for the change and any related impacts on the deferred maintenance and repairs estimate(s).  Significant entities must: (1) describe their method for estimating deferred maintenance and repairs and how inflation in labor and materials costs is used to adjust the estimates annually, and (2) report the minimum maintenance and repair amount needed to ensure that mission-critical facilities remain mission capable. | A-136, Section II.3.9.4 |
| 5.  . | Entities operating social insurance programs should:[[23]](#footnote-24)   1. report the following (unless it is disclosed in the notes that are presented as an integral part of the basic financial statements): 2. long-range cash flow projections; 3. long-range projections of the ratio of contributors to beneficiaries, i.e., the dependency ratio (appropriate for the Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance and Hospital Insurance programs); 4. sensitivity analysis illustrating the effect of the changes in the most significant assumptions on the projections and present values; and 5. state-by-state solvency analysis for the Unemployment Insurance program; and 6. describe the program, how it is financed and how benefits are calculated, plus provide an analysis of the trends revealed by the data. | A-136, Section II.3.9.5 |
| 6. | Budget information aggregated for the SBR should be disaggregated for each of the entity’s major budget accounts in a Combining SBR. The total of the major accounts (and aggregate of smaller accounts) should agree with the amounts reported on the SBR. | A-136, Section II.3.9.6 |
| 7. | Entities that collect taxes and duties should provide information about the:   1. factors affecting the collectability of compliance assessments recognized as taxes receivable; 2. claims for refunds that are not yet accrued but likely to be paid when administrative action is complete, if reasonably estimable; 3. amount of assessments the entity has statutory authority to collect at the end of the period, but has no future collection potential and are therefore defined as write-offs; and 4. amounts by which the trust funds may be over- or underfunded in comparison with the requirements of law, if reasonably estimable. | A-136, Section II.3.9.7 |
| 8. | For FY 2025, as RSI, present a description of Land (PP&E and/or stewardship) and permanent land rights, its relationship to the entity’s mission, the estimated land acreage by predominant use subcategory, and the estimated land acreage available for disposal or exchange. If permanent land rights are significant, present a general description of the different types of land rights acquired by the entity, whether such rights are permanent or temporary. Separate disclosure of PP&E land and stewardship land is required in one or two tables. Comparative information is required and may be presented in a table. (Please note - These disclosures will transition to financial statement notes in FY 2026  SFFAS 59, paragraph 3, excludes Tribal land, land held or administered on behalf of Tribal governments, and the Outer Continental Shelf. However, this fact should be disclosed if an entity manages material amounts of Outer Continental Shelf acreage. | A-136, Section II.3.9.8 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Part E – OTHER Information** | | |
| 1. | The report should include summary tables of material weaknesses as determined by the audits of the financial statements, management’s evaluation of internal control as required by the FMFIA and A-123, and management’s evaluation of financial systems as required by the FMFIA and FFMIA.   1. Separate tables should be presented for the material weaknesses resulting from the financial audit, the evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, the evaluation of internal control over operations, the conformance with financial system requirements, and the compliance with the FFMIA. 2. The tables summarizing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and over operations should state whether the statements are unmodified, modified, or no assurance. 3. A table summarizing substantial compliance with the FFMIA[[24]](#footnote-25): 4. divided according to the three requirements addressed in the Act: system requirements, accounting standards, and the U. S. Standard General Ledger at the transaction level; and 5. presenting separately management’s assessment for each requirement and the auditors’ assessment for each requirement. 6. The material weaknesses and non-conformances should be listed using unique, short names. 7. The names should be kept constant among the tables and from year to year. 8. For each material weakness and non-conformance, the table should report: 9. the beginning balance, new entries, resolved entries, consolidated entries, and ending balance for the table summarizing the results from the financial statement audit. 10. the beginning balance, new entries, resolved entries, consolidated entries, reassessed entries, and ending balance for the tables summarizing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and over operations. 11. The table information should be consistent with the management assurance statement and the independent auditors’ report. 12. If management does not agree with the auditor, management should explain why it does not agree and describe how the disagreement will be addressed. | A-136, Section II.4.1 |
| 2. | If an entity reports differences between the prices it charges in exchange transactions and the full cost or market price, it should consider estimating the amount of revenue forgone and explaining to what extent the quantity demanded was assumed to change because of the price differential. | A-136, Section II.4.2 |
| 3. | Entities that collect taxes may report the following:   1. a perspective on the income tax burden; and 2. information on the size of the tax gap. | A-136, Section II.4.3 |
| 4. | Entities with information on tax expenditures relevant to the performance of their programs may present such information. | A-136, Section II.4.3 |
| 5. | The report should include a statement prepared by the entity’s IG that summarizes what he or she considers the most serious management and performance challenges facing the entity and assesses the entity’s progress in addressing those challenges. The agency head may comment on, but may not modify, the IG statement.     1. The IG’s assessment of the entity’s progress addressing the challenges should be comprehensive, i.e., include the assessment of the entity’s progress addressing the challenges, and coordinated with management to preclude the latter’s need to add its own lengthy recital of progress. 2. Comments by the Agency Head, if made, should follow the IG’s statement and address each IG challenge. | A-136, Section II.4.4 |
| 6. | Entities that have provided data to OMB for display on <https://paymentaccuracy.gov/> should include the website hyperlink. | A-136, Section II.4.5 |
| 7. | Entities that have had a recovery audit and have received recommendations from their recovery audit contractors regarding actions that can be taken to prevent overpayments should report on the actions taken in response to the recommendations. | A-136, Section II.4.5 |
| 8. | All Executive Branch agencies that report improper payments in accordance with OMB Memorandum M-21-19 are required to provide a summary of their payment integrity activities and results. The summary should include:   1. In plain language, a description of improper payments made by the agency; an estimate of the improper payment amount and rate; an explanation of the causes of improper payments; and any major actions taken or planned to mitigate those causes; 2. An explanation for changes in payment integrity methodologies, mitigation strategies, correction actions, or results that occurred during the reporting period; 3. A hyperlink for [PaymentAccuracy.gov](https://www.paymentaccuracy.gov/) and should not conflict with data that is available on [PaymentAccuracy.gov,](https://www.paymentaccuracy.gov/) as PaymentAccuracy.gov is intended to be the complete source for all qualitative and quantitative payment integrity information, including contextual information, and 4. A statement that the agency plans to come into compliance with the *Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019* criteria is available on PaymentAccuracy.gov, if applicable. | A-136, Section II.4.5 |
| 9. | The report should include information, if applicable, about the entity’s civil monetary penalties within their jurisdiction and the annual inflation adjustments made under the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, preferably in a table that presents for each penalty the statutory authority, name and description of the penalty, year enacted, latest year of adjustment, current penalty level in dollars or as a range, bureau name, and location for penalty update details. If a table is not provided, the Federal Register citations and hyperlinks for the most recent annual adjustment must be included in the narrative discussion of the penalties. | A-136, Section II.4.7 |
| 10. | The report should include the results of the biennial review of fees, royalties, rents, and other charges imposed by the entity for services and things of value it provides and any recommendations on revising those charges. | A-136, Section II.4.8 |
| 11. | Significant entities with Federal grant programs must submit a brief high-level summary of expired, but not closed, Federal grants and cooperative agreements, including:   1. a summary table of the total number of awards and balances for which closeout has not yet occurred, but for which the period of performance has elapsed by two years or more prior to September 30, 2025; and 2. a brief narrative of the progress made over the past year compared to the previous year’s report, challenges preventing closeout of awards reported, and actions to be taken to close awards reported. | A-136, Section II.4.9.1 |
| 12. | All reporting entities covered by the Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act must report the following Grants Program information pursuant to OMB Memorandum M-16-18, *Financial and Performance Reporting on Undisbursed Balances in Expired Grant Accounts.*   * 1. Information about future actions the entity will take to resolve undisbursed balances for grant awards for which the period of performance has expired.   2. The method that the entity uses to track undisbursed balances for expired grant awards.   3. The identification (or dollar amounts) of undisbursed balances for expired grant awards that may be returned to the Treasury (i.e., the amount of undisbursed balances from expired grants whose underlying budget authority has been canceled).   4. The number of expired grant awards with undisbursed balances and the amounts that have not been obligated to a specific grant or project remaining in the appropriations accounts (i.e., appropriated, but unobligated amounts) as of September 30, 2025, 2024, and 2023.   All other agencies may report (but are not required to report) this information. | A-136, Section II.4.9.2 |
| 13. | Entities required to produce audit resolution reports from the Agency Head under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3 section 5(b) may include such reports or a summary of agency audit resolution in the AFR or PAR as provided by the *Reports Consolidation Act of 2000*. | A-136, Section II.4.10 |
| 14. | Significant reporting entities and components must report as Other Information, all agency-specific legislative provisions enacted in the prior year or current year that address agency-specific financial accounting, reporting, or auditing issues. This is to inform report users of any legislation that affected the entity’s or component’s ability to prepare its AFR/PAR or affected its audit opinion. Such disclosures must include (1) the name of the act containing the provision, (2) the complete public law citation and a hyperlink, and (3) an explanation of the purpose of the legislation. | A-136, Section II.4.11 |
| 15. | At management’s discretion, significant entities may list the entity’s trading partners with which the entity has material transactions or balances, if such information would be useful to AFR/PAR users. For each partner, entities may explain the nature of the exchanges with the partner and provide, for example, the revenue, expenses, or capitalized purchases with the trading partner during the year and the cumulative amount due from or to the partner at the end of the year. If presented, this information may be included as Other Information or as a note in the financial statements. | A-136, Section II.4.12 |
| 16. | Significant entities that were not able to and did not meet the October 1, 2022, G-Invoicing deadline for New Orders should summarize, as Other Information, the procedures used to identify, reconcile, and resolve intragovernmental balance differences with trading partners. In this regard, entities may discuss how G-Invoicing has been used and how it will be used for all Buy/Sell transactions as of October 1, 2025. | A-136, Section II.4.12 |

| **CERTIFICATE OF EXCELLENCE IN ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTING**  **GUIDELINES** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Description** | **Source** |
| **Section II – PAR** | | |
| **Part A – Performance Section[[25]](#footnote-26)** | | |
| In implementing statutory requirements for financial, performance management, and other reporting, OMB Circular A-136, Section II.1 permits agencies to submit combined reports in instances where the consolidation of the reports will enhance the usefulness of the reported information. These reports are titled Performance and Accountability Reports (PAR). They are required to include in a separate Performance Section the information required by the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), as amended. The source of those requirements is OMB Circular No. A-11, which are addressed below. Preparers and reviewers should realize, however, that some requirements may already have been presented in the MD&A, per the requirements of SFFAS 15 *Management’s Discussion and Analysis,* and A-136, Section II.2. Hence, preparers should be careful to not unnecessarily repeat information presented elsewhere in the report. | | |
| 1. | The Performance Section should be linked to and from Performance.gov. | A-11, Section 210.8 and A-11, Section 240.22 |
| 2. | A high-level summary of the entity, which may include a description of core functions, organizational size, and key legislative authorities or initiatives, including key data and narrative describing the number and kinds of people or businesses served, locations or characteristics of operation, and problems and opportunities addressed, should be presented. | A-11, Section 210.19.1.1 |
| 3. | The agency’s mission statement should be brief, easy to understand, and usually no more than a sentence long. | A-11, Section 210.19.1.2 |
| 4. | The agency’s vision or values statements are encouraged to be presented, to provide the agency’s desired future state in terms of strategic direction as well as the beliefs that drive the organization’s culture or framework for decision making (optional, but strongly encouraged). | A-11, Section 210.19.1.3 |
| 5. | Clearly articulate how the agency's work benefits the public, enables the public to understand the agency's actions to make progress, and explain what the agency is doing to improve performance. | A-11, Section 240.24 |
| 6. | Information about the entity’s structure should be presented, such as an organization chart showing the entity components, bureaus, or offices, and how they are related. | A-11, Section 210.19.1.4 |
| 7. | Entities that contribute to Cross-Agency Priority Goals should include a list of Cross-Agency Priority (CAP) Goals to which the entity contributes and explain the entity’s contribution to the CAP goals.  The report should direct readers to performance.gov with the following language, “Per the GPRA Modernization Act requirement to address Cross-Agency Priority Goals in the agency strategic plan, the annual performance plan, and the annual performance report, please refer to www.performance.gov for the entity’s contributions to those goals and progress, where applicable..” which then lists the applicable Cross-Agency Priority Goals. | A-11, Section 210.19.2.1 |
| 8. | The section should present the general, outcome-oriented, long-term (strategic) goals for the entity’s major functions and operations. | A-11, Section 210.19.3.1 |
| 9. | The section should present the entity’s strategic objectives that reflect the mission, service, or stewardship outcome or impact the agency is trying to achieve. | A-11, Section 210.19.4.1 |
| 10. | The section should present a brief narrative describing achievements during the last fiscal year on the strategic objectives, indicating where progress was made and where it was not.   1. challenges encountered along with plans or proposed actions for overcoming them, if applicable should be described. 2. An identification of the entity’s progress as either noteworthy or requiring focused improvement must be included, with further explanation for the reasons for the characterization of the progress. 3. Hyperlinks or citations to supporting evidence should be used to keep the description of progress brief. | A-11, Section 210.19.4.6 |
| 11. | Performance goals that are agency priority goals should be identified.[[26]](#footnote-27) | A-11, Section 210.19.5.1 |
| 12. | The section should briefly explain achievements over the last fiscal year and identify significant challenges, if any, that impeded progress on the agency's priority goals. | A-11, Section 210.19.5.6 |
| 13. | Progress made on performance goals should be reported.   1. Actual results should be compared with the target performance levels, at least for the prior year, and clarification should be given as to whether the target was met. 2. Actual results should be displayed for at least the five preceding years, if available. 3. Missing, incomplete, preliminary, or estimated performance indicator information should be identified, along with the date when the actual information will be available. 4. Brief explanations of causes of variances, changes in trends, and whether the target was met should be included. 5. If sufficient progress in meeting the performance goal is not being met, a discussion of future improvement, including why the performance goal was not met and plans for achieving it. 6. If the performance goal is determined to be impractical or infeasible, an explanation of why that is the case and what action is recommended.   e. Performance goals changed or dropped since publication of the Annual Performance Plan, as well as changed or dropped performance measures, and the reasons for the changes should be identified. | A-11, Section 210.11.6.1  *Items a-b:* A-11, Section 210.19.6.2  *Item c:* A-11, Section 210.19.6.4  *Item d:* A-11, Section 210.19.6.5  *Item e:* A-11, Section 210.19.6.6 |
| 14. | Indicators without targets that have been established to help explain entity performance (called “other indicators”) should be identified, and the reasons why they are being used should be explained. | A-11, Section 210.19.7.1 |
| 15. | If available, the actual results for the current and at least five preceding years should be displayed for other indicators. | A-11, Section 210.19.7.2 |
| 16. | Missing, incomplete, preliminary, or estimated other indicator information should be identified, along with the date when the actual information will be available. | A-11, Section 210.19.7.3 |
| 17. | For agencies with designated High-Impact Service Providers (defined in A-11 Section 280), include a description of progress made by the agency related to specific strategic objectives or performance goals advancing customer experience efforts, and specifically against “Priority Life Experiences,” as applicable to the agency. | A-11, Section 210.19.9.1 |
| 18. | The section should describe progress made on management priorities and challenges previously described in the Annual Performance Plan. | A-11, Section 210.19.10.1 |
| 19. | The section should describe how the agency works with other agencies to achieve strategic objectives, agency priority goals, and performance goals. The description should include the responsibilities of key agency programs and external agency partners, as well as the nature of their expected contribution to strategic objectives. | A-11, Section 210.19.10.2 |
| 20. | The section should include links or references to other, more detailed plans, evaluations, or other studies to support the decisions and strategies described in the agency plan or report. | A-11, Section 210.19.10.3 |
| 21.  . | There should be an assessment by the agency head of the reliability and completeness of the performance data in the report that describes how the agency ensures the accuracy and reliability of the data used to measure progress towards its performance goals and identifies the following:[[27]](#footnote-28)   * the means to be used to verify and validate measured values * the sources for the data * the level of accuracy required for the intended use of the data * any limitations to the data at the required level of accuracy * how the entity will compensate for any limitations to reach the required level of accuracy * a summary of how the entity uses data to promote improved outcomes should be included | A-11, Section 210.19.10.4 (see also A-11, Section 240.26) |
| 22. | Findings from agency-funded evaluations and other relevant evidence-building activities completed during the prior fiscal year, including those undertaken as part of the agency’s Learning Agenda and Annual Evaluation Plan, should be described.   * 1. Any evidence related to its understanding of program performance, the problems the program aims to address, and external factors influencing agency performance should be described.   2. Findings from evaluations or other evidence-building activities used in decision-making processes related to programs, policies, and budget efforts should be articulated   3. The relative strength of the evidence should be addressed. | A-11, Section 210.20 |

| **CERTIFICATE OF EXCELLENCE IN ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTING**  **GUIDELINES** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Description** | **Source** |
| **Section III – Summary of Performance and Financial Information[[28]](#footnote-29)** | | |
| 1. | The Summary includes the most relevant performance and financial information. |  |
| 2. | The Summary can be a 1-6 page high-level summary, an MD&A that can be easily extracted from the PAR or AFR and issued as a stand-alone document, or a short video posted on the entity’s website. |  |
| 3. | The cover of the Summary should contain the name of the entity, the title of the report, and the fiscal year. |  |
| 4. | The Summary should identify the relationship between the Summary of Performance and Financial Information and the AFR or PAR and APR. |  |
| 5 | The information presented in the Summary of Performance and Financial Information should be modified, as necessary, to avoid the impression that the reader is reading the AFR or PAR. |  |

| **CERTIFICATE OF EXCELLENCE IN ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTING**  **GUIDELINES** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SFFAS No.** | **Description** | **Effective Date** |
| **Section IV – Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Standards effective in future years** | | |
| 59 | Accounting and Reporting of Government Land | Required reporting as Required Supplementary Information for FY 2023-2025; Note disclosure for FY 2026; earlier implementation is not permitted. |
| 64 | Management’s Discussion and Analysis: Rescinding and Replacing SFFAS 15 | Required for FY 2026; earlier implementation is permitted. |

1. Components of CFO Act agencies and independent agencies other than CFO Act agencies are not subject to many of the legislative and administrative reporting requirements applicable to CFO Act agencies. Also, they tend to be smaller and operate a limited number of programs. Hence the reports of other than CFO Act agencies might not and need not include all of the materials required to be reported by a CFO Act entity. Comprehensive coverage for each of the areas to be included in a report would be the primary criterion. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Some agencies have elected to no longer issue printed reports and instead make their reports available on the Internet. In those instances, reviewers will be provided a printed copy of the document the entity published on the Internet as of the required issuance date. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. Persons reviewing reports issued by components of CFO Act agencies and independent agencies other than CFO Act agencies should consider that these agencies are not subject to many of the legislative and administrative reporting requirements of CFO Act agencies. (See footnote 1.) [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. Reviewers need not refer to other documents when reviewing a AFR, PAR or SPFI. They will be expected, however, to consider related information in other parts of the Report they are reviewing--and be alert to inconsistencies. Reviewers are also asked to access the AFR, PAR, and SPFI on the entity’s web site to assess their level of accessibility. Finally, for certain Guidelines, reviewers will need to refer to performance.gov, paymentintegrity.gov, or other websites to ascertain whether the information required to be posted to those websites has been posted. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. **Although the existence of coordination would not be ascertainable from a review, certain aspects indicate a lack of coordination. Examples are separate pagination schemes and/or type layouts for the different sections; lack of recognition in one section of problems identified in other sections; redundancy; and lengthy and defensive responses by management to the IG 's summary of management and performance challenges.**

   **7 In unusual circumstances, the CEAR Program may consider reports for a CEAR award when the opinion is not unmodified on one or more statements, if such limitations are the result of legal requirements.** [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. Although these are subjective aspects, elements to look for, in addition to those cited in the Guidelines, are the use of headings and captions, the use of pictures and other graphics to support text, the judicious use of color, the size and type of print, the quality of the printing, and a limited use of acronyms. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. Preparers should also refer to Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts No. 3 *Management’s Discussion and Analysis,* as well as Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standard (SFFAS) No. 15 *Management’s Discussion and Analysis,* for a narrative discussion of what should be included in an effective MD&A. Note that preparers should also refer to SFFAS No. 64, *Management’s Discussion and Analysis: Rescinding and Replacing SFFAS 15* for information on FY 2026 MD&A requirements that may be implemented early. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. The Financial Section may, but is not required to, contain a CFO Letter. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. The absence of specificity in the Circular as to whether “audit weaknesses” are to be limited to material weaknesses or to also encompass significant deficiencies, which are the terms used in the auditors’ reports, might result in some CFO letters addressing only the former and some addressing both the former and the latter. The latter is encouraged, although not required. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. If the auditor did not provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting, this statement can be changed to state that there were no material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting, and a definition of material weakness can be provided in a footnote). [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
11. If the auditor did not provide an opinion (i.e., did not give positive assurance) on whether the entity’s systems substantially complied with the requirements of FFMIA, the statement can be changed to state there were no instances in which the entity’s financial management systems did not substantially comply, i.e., negative assurance is provided. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
12. For agencies whose financial statements receive other than an unmodifiedd opinion, recommendations will be provided, but a Certificate cannot be awarded. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
13. If the auditors have concluded based on sufficient, appropriate, relevant information, the report should also include, the relevant information about: (1) fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the financial statements or other financial data significant to the audit objectives and other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; (2) noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements that has a material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts or other financial data significant to the audit objectives; or (3) abuse that is material, either quantitatively or qualitatively. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
14. This requirement applies only to agencies encompassed by the CFO Act. Hence, the auditors of components of CFO Act agencies and independent agencies other than CFO Act agencies are not required to separately report on the component’s compliance with the FFMIA. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
15. The information could alternatively be presented in an accompanying schedule that is referenced in the auditors’ report on compliance. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
16. The reporting entity's comments on the auditors’ findings and recommendations, including corrective actions taken or planned and the status of corrective actions taken on prior audit findings, might be reported in the section of the PAR or AFR pertaining to management controls and/or audit follow-up. In those instances, the auditor might forgo duplicating this information in the auditors’ report and simply refer to the pages in the PAR or AFR where the information is reported by management. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
17. AFRs or PARs presented by agencies subject to the Corporation Control Act might submit financial statements prepared in accordance with standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, rather than the FASAB. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
18. The SCA is required only for entities that collect material non-exchange revenues for the General Fund of the Treasury, a trust fund, or other recipient entities. It would also be prepared by entities that collect rents, royalties, or bonuses on Outer Continental Shelf and other petroleum and mineral rights that are accounted for as a custodial activity. Organizations that collect immaterial custodial revenues that are incidental to their primary mission may disclose the sources and amounts of the collections and the amounts distributed to others in accompanying footnotes. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
19. The SOSI and SCSIA are required for all entities that operate Social Insurance programs with the exception of the Unemployment Insurance program. This would include Social Security, Medicare, Railroad Retirement benefits, and Black Lung benefits,. [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
20. When Note information is presented for the first time, it need not be reported for the prior year. When Circular A-136 no longer requires Note information for the current year that was required by A-136 for the prior year and when such information is not required by FASAB standards, it need not be reported for the prior year. [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
21. Agencies with a large number of disclosure entities or balances resulting from transactions with disclosure entities may provide this information in a separate note and include a reference to that note in Note 1 [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
22. Agencies with a small number of disclosure entities or no balances from transactions with disclosure entities may report this information in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Agencies reporting information about future exposures may include this information in their existing note on commitments or contingencies. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
23. The programs defined as social insurance programs are Social Security, Medicare, Railroad Retirement benefits, Black Lung benefits, and Unemployment Insurance. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
24. Agencies subject to the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act are not subject to the requirements of FMFIA. Hence this table may not be included. [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
25. [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
26. Agencies have the option of reporting targets and results for each reporting period for the agency priority goals. [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
27. This information can be presented in a single appendix used to communicate the entity’s approaches or wherever the performance information is communicated, even websites. [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
28. Agencies may, but are not required to, publish a Summary of Performance and Financial Information [↑](#footnote-ref-29)