Measuring the Business Value of Resilience in Disasters

Noah Dormady, Ph.D. The Ohio State University

October 17, 2022 Central Ohio AGA



The Role of Critical Inputs in Disasters: Labor Disruptions



The Role of Critical Inputs in Disasters: Transportation Disruptions



The Role of Critical Inputs in Disasters: Supply Chain Disruptions



The Role of Critical Inputs in Disasters: Power Outages & Communication Outages





Resilience, Mitigation, & BI

Key Distinctions: 'Mitigation,' 'Resilience,' & 'BI'

- Resilience: Often refers to *any action* that reduces hazard losses
- But there's a perfectly good word for actions taken *before* the event – "mitigation"
- Best use of "resilience" actions taken after an event
 - can build up resilience capacity beforehand it's a process
 - (inventories, resource agreements, identify back-up locations)
 - but these tactics are *not implemented until after* the event
- Can only prevent property damage before the event, but can reduce *business interruption* afterwards
- BI begins when the disaster strikes & continues until recovered
- Measured in terms of lost sales revenue, GDP, employment



Economic Resilience

• Static Resilience:

• General Definition: Ability of a system to maintain function when shocked.

 Econ Definition: Efficient use of remaining resources at a given point in time to produce as much as possible.

O Dynamic Resilience:

• General: Ability & speed of a system to recover.

• Economic: *Efficient* use of resources *over time* for investment in repair and reconstruction, including expediting the process & adapting to change.

Resilience essentially synonymous with efficient business continuity

Metric: losses avoided by using a resilience tactic as % of potential losses without it

Why BI Matters

September 11 World Trade Center Attacks

- property damage (PD): \$25 Billion
- business interruption (BI): \$100 Billion

Hurricane Katrina

- PD: \$75B
- BI: >\$100B

ShakeOut San Andreas Fault Earthquake Simulation

- PD: \$100B
- BI: \$68B

Resilience Tactics (Actions)

Resilience Tactic	Definition (Activities Involved)					
Conservation	Maintaining intended production using lower amounts of an input or inputs					
Resource Isolation	Modifying a portion of business operations to run without a critical input					
Input Substitution	Replacing a production input in short supply with another					
Inventories	Continuing business operations using emergency and ordinary stockpiles					
Excess Capacity	Using idle plant or equipment in place of a damaged ones					
Relocation	Moving some or all of the business activity to a new location					
Management Effectiveness	Improving the efficiency of business operations in the aftermath of a disaster					
Import Substitution	Importing needed production inputs when not available from local suppliers					
Technological Change	Improvising the production process without requiring a major investment					
Resource Pooling/Sharing	Recontracting, selective exchange of resources, creating new partnerships					
Production Recapture	Making up for lost production by working overtime or extra shifts.					





Design of Two Large-area Surveys to Empirically Measure Economic Resilience



Survey Methodology

- Two random samples: Superstorm Sandy (NY and NJ) and Hurricane Harvey (TX)
- Administered 60- and 13- months post-disaster for Sandy and Harvey, respectively
- Stratified by firm size and property damage (oversampled PD and firms >10 FTE employees)
- 62% of firms in sample had *both* BI + PD
- Median firm of 30 FTE employees, mean 2,696 FTE employees (max of 90K FTEs)
- Sample size: 249 firms using 722 resilience tactics

Designed to Estimate Resilience Metrics (RM) & (BCR)

Resilience Metric (RM)

 $RM_{i,j} = \frac{AvoidedLoss_{i,j}}{MaximumPotentialLoss_i}$

Example: an RM of 0.08 means that firm *i* avoided 8% of its maximum potential losses using resilience tactic *j*

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

 $BCR_{i,j} = \frac{AvoidedLoss_{i,j}}{Cost_{i,j}}$

Example: a BCR of 8.2 means that firm *i* avoided \$8.20 for each dollar it spent implementing resilience tactic *j*



Results

Empirical Results from Surveys

Results

Impact on Business Interruption, by Disruption Type





Results: PD versus BI

Superstorm Sandy (NY/NJ)	Property Damage Median	Business Interruption Median	Ratio
Among <i>only</i> firms physically damaged	\$100,000	\$180,000	180%
All firms	\$25,000	\$100,000	400%

Hurricane Harvey (TX)	Property Damage Median	Business Interruption Median	Ratio
Among <i>only</i> firms physically damaged	\$56,000	\$725,000	1,294%
All firms	\$5,000	\$575,000	11,500%

Results: How Many Tactics Did Firms Use?

	Agriculture, Mining & Construction	Transportation, Communication & Utilities	Manufacturing	Wholesale & Retail Trade	Finance, Insurance & Real Estate	Service Sectors	All Sectors TOTAL
Business Interruption & Property Damage	3.2	4.7	4.1	2.8	3.1	3.9	3.6
Business Interruption Only	2.4	2.6	1.5	2.1	1.8	2.3	2.2

- Compared to firms observing only BI, firms observing Property Damage used, on average, 1.4 additional tactics
- Generally, capital-intensive sectors such as transportation, communications, utilities and manufacturing used the greatest number of tactics

Results: Overall Metrics (BCR and RM)

	Net Avoided Losses (\$)	Net Cost (\$)	Net Maximum Potential Losses (\$)	Net BCR	Net RM
Sandy	57,340,503	$12,\!051,\!427$	167,840,729	\$4.76:1	0.3416
Harvey	27,102,750	6,440,180	$1,\!111,\!919,\!004$	\$4.21:1	0.0244
Total	84,443,253	$18,\!491,\!607$	$1,\!279,\!759,\!733$	\$4.57:1	0.066

Overall, firms avoided \$4.57 for every dollar spent on resilience tactics Overall, firms avoided 6% of max potential losses, considerably lower RM results for higher-severity Harvey results (2.4%) than Sandy results (34.1%)

BRC Decision-support Software



Business Resilience Calculator

Provisional Patent No. 63/314,650

Production Recapture BCR | \$0.782 | \$1.19 | \$2.52 | 📀

Typical firms like yours avoided **\$0.782** for every dollar spent on this tactic. For all firms in your sector (not just firms like yours) the average BCR is **\$1.19**. Best performers avoided **\$2.52** for every dollar spent on this tactic.





Policy Implications

What does this all mean? And, for whom?

Policy Implications

- First wide scale attempt to measure the cost effectiveness of resilience actions
- Results help tailor resilience recommendations; recommendations have a theoretical foundation in production theory
- Public Sector: DHS/FEMA, SBA, US Coast Guard, Economic Development Administration, State/County Office of Emergency Management, National Guard
- Private Firms: Predominantly SMEs without resilience officers/resilience analytics
- Insurance Industry: Insurance/Reinsurance
- Natural and human-induced hazards are increasing in economic disruptions → mitigation has not kept pace
- BI > property damage



