Background and Introduction

The Buy American Act (41 USC § 10a-10d) of 1933 (hereinafter, the BAA) requires the US government to prefer US-made products when making purchases. Moreover, federal grants—such as those made under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA)—may expand aspects of BAA and extend BAA requirements to recipients and subrecipients. The requirement may be waived under certain circumstances.

BAA:

- Applies <u>only</u> to construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a building or public work.
- Requires that <u>all</u> (as opposed to <u>substantially</u> all) iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in a project must be produced in the US.
- Applies regardless of the size of the project.
- Allows for five exceptions with the approval of the granting federal agency.
 - 1. Compliance would be inconsistent with public policy.
 - 2. Compliance would unreasonably increase the cost.
 - 3. Compliance is impracticable because a required product or a component of a required product is not available in reasonable quantities or of sufficient quality from US manufacturers.
 - (The following two exceptions do not apply to ARRA and are unlikely, given their nature, to be applied to states or municipalities.)
 - 4. Materials in question are to be used outside of the US.
 - 5. The acquisition is less than the micro-purchase (de minimis) threshold.

The scope of this internal control questionnaire is limited to BAA and does not extend to other grant or procurement related requirements.

Certain terms that may be unfamiliar are explained in the Glossary following this internal control questionnaire.

QUALIFYING / IDENTIFYING QUESTIONNAIRE

Objectives: To determine whether the provisions of BAA apply to the auditee.

Identifying:

Agenc	y Name				
Agency's Capacity (Check all that apply.)		☐ Prime Recipient ☐ Subrecipient ☐ Pass-through Entity ☐ Other (Explain)			
	Qualifying:		Yes	No	
1.	Does the award specify the requirements?	at the award is subject to BAA			
2.		f the grant under consideration used for , maintenance, or repair of a building or			
If the answer to question 1, question 2, or both is "no," this grant is not subject to the provisions of the Ruy American Act and the balance of this questionnaire should not be executed.					
tha Dir	V Amorican Act and the half	ance of thic dijectionnaire challed het he ev	ACLITA d		

CONTROL FRAMEWORK INTERNAL CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE

Control Objectives: To provide reasonable assurance that:

- 1. There is compliance with the provisions of BAA, if such provisions are applicable under the circumstances.
- 2. Proper certifications of compliance with the provisions of BAA are required as part of the procurement process and that those certifications are retained for audit.
- 3. The requirements of BAA are appropriately communicated to staff, subrecipients, and vendors.
- 4. There is, as may be appropriate, effective monitoring by recipients of federal grants of BAA compliance by the recipient, subrecipients and vendors.
- 5. Steps are taken to discover any subrecipient and vendor non-compliance.
- 6. Steps are taken to terminate relationships with non-compliant subrecipients and vendors.

A.	Control Environment:	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Is management aware of the requirements of BAA?				
2.	Is management aware of its responsibility to monitor compliance with BAA?				
3.	Is there written evidence that management has communicated the requirements of BAA to staff?				
4.	Is there written evidence that management has communicated the requirements of BAA to subrecipients?				
5.	Is there written evidence that management has communicated the requirements of BAA to vendors?				
6.	Has management established training for staff to help them identify the high risk contract components? (This would include metallic materials or manufactured goods.)				

B.	Risk Assessment:	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Are there mechanisms in place to identify vendors, subcontractors, or subrecipients most likely to violate BAA requirements? (This would include suppliers of metallic materials or manufactured goods.)				
2.	Has management identified how compliance with BAA will be monitored?				
3.	Has management assessed the risk that a monitoring program is ineffective?				
4.	Has management assessed the risk that the staff may be inadequately trained (because of insufficient training program, infrequency of updating, or staff turnover) to identify noncompliance with BAA?				

C.	Control Activities:	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Are vendors informed by procurement documents				
	of the requirement to comply with BAA?				
2.	If an exception is claimed, is there supporting				
	documentation maintained for the exception from				
	the federal grantor?				
3.1	Are vendors, if applicable, required to submit self-				
	certifications of compliance with BAA?				

C.	Control Activities:	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
3.2	Are controls in place to make sure self-				
	certifications are provided and are in proper form?				
4.1	Are vendors, if applicable, required to provide				
	waivers provided by the federal grantor?				
4.2	Are controls in place to make sure waivers from the				
	federal grantor either are in proper form or are in compliance with BAA general waivers?				
5.	Does management or audit personnel investigate				
	(beyond self-certification) where there is suspicion				
	that iron, steel or a foreign-made end-product, not				
	subject to waiver, has been furnished?				
6.	Does management or audit personnel request and				
	review documentation (beyond self-certification)				
	supporting the domestic production of iron and steel and the domestic assembly of end-products?				
7.	Does a contracting officer or auditor investigate				
١.	(beyond self-certification) where there is suspicion				
	that foreign-made iron, steel or end-product, not				
	subject to exception or waiver, will be furnished?				
8.	Are periodic site inspections (announced and				
	unannounced) of work sites involving buildings or				
	public works conducted to ascertain compliance				
	with the provisions of BAA?				
9.	Are the source documents relating to the provision				
	of iron, steel and manufactured goods by domestic				
	producers that may import materials or				
	components reviewed to ensure compliance with				
	the provisions of BAA?				

D.	Information and Communication:	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Are communication channels established for staff, subgrantees, vendors, and the public to report failure to comply with BAA?				
2.	Does the agency periodically interview vendor and subgrantee employees to ascertain composition and source of materials or components covered by BAA?				

E.	Monitoring:	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Is an agency employee or an outside consultant, familiar with BAA, assigned the responsibility to monitor subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor and vendor compliance with BAA?				
2.	Does management periodically review procedures to ensure that subrecipients, contractors, subcontractors and vendors are properly notified of the BAA requirements?				
3.	Does management periodically review documentation to ensure that contractor and subcontractor waivers are properly received?				

Special Rules:

The BAA allows for five standard exceptions from its requirements:

Applicable to all projects:

- 1. Compliance would be inconsistent with public policy.
- 2. Compliance would unreasonably increase the cost.
- Compliance is impracticable because a required product or a component of a required product is not available in reasonable quantities or of sufficient quality from US manufacturers.

Applicable to federal projects only:

- 4. Materials in question are to be used outside of the US.
- 5. The acquisition is less than the micro-purchase (de minimis) threshold.

The first three of these exceptions apply to all acquisitions; the last two apply only to federal acquisitions (state and local governments are not involved in the construction of public works outside the border of the US and state and local governments have their own procurement methods and policies).

Though these are standard exceptions, a vendor or supplier must still request and be granted the exception by the federal agency funding the project for the exception to be claimed. The grant of exception will be in writing, can be produced by the vendor or supplier, and a copy of the exception should be available for inspection by auditors.

Micro-purchase (de minimis) threshold:

This is the monetary amount under which federal agencies can acquire goods and services using less stringent acquisition methods. As of August 30, 2010, the threshold was re-established (by the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council) at \$3,000. The threshold is adjusted for inflation every five years (Section 807, Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005). Given differing procurement rules and procedures, the de minimis threshold is not currently applied, nor likely to be applied, to non-federal governmental organizations.

Public work:

A public work is a construction or engineering project carried out by the government on behalf of the public. Public works include both infrastructure assets (such as airports, canals, dams, dikes, pipelines, railroads, roads, tunnels, and artificial harbors) and non-infrastructure assets (such as mines, schools, hospitals, water purification and sewage treatment centers).

Waiver:

A waiver is similar to an exception (q.v.), but does not fit into one of the five standard categories for exception. As with an exception, the waiver must be granted by the federal agency funding the project, the waiver must be in writing and the waiver should be available for inspection.